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## High(er) dimensional problems

- Classical physics: most problems in 3d space+time, compl. geometry
- Higher dimensional problems ?
- PDEs from mathematical modelling, stochastics
- diffusion equation, Fokker-Planck equation,
- diffusion approximation of discrete processes, networks (Mitzlaff,Dai)
- viscoelasticity in polymer fluids (Rousse), reaction mechanisms in biology and chemistry (Sjoeberg, Loetstedt, Hegland),, option pricing,
- homogenization with multiple scales (Cioranescu,, Hoang, Matache, Schwab
- quantum mechanics, Schrödinger equation (Yserentant, Flad)
- data analysis, statistical learning (Garcke, Hegland)
- stochastic PDEs (Todor,Schwab,Mathies)
- Domain simple, product structure
- $[0,1]^{\mathrm{d}},[-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}]^{\mathrm{d}}$, hypersphere $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ with decay for $x_{i} \rightarrow \pm \infty$


## Curse of dimension

- $f: \Omega^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}, \quad f \in V^{(r)}, \quad r$ isotropic smoothness
- Bellmann '61: curse of dimension
$\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=C(d) \cdot N^{-r / d}|f|_{H^{s+r}}=O\left(N^{-r / d}\right)$
- Find situations where curse can be broken?
- Trivial: restrict to $r=O(d)$

$$
\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|=O\left(N^{-c d / d}\right)=O\left(N^{-c}\right)
$$

but practically not very relevant

## Curse of dimension

- Consider class of functions of $\mathfrak{R}^{d}$ with $\nabla f \in F L_{1}$ where $F L_{1}$ class of functions with Fourier transform in $L_{1}$
=> expect $\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|=O\left(N^{-1 / d}\right)$
- But Barron '93 showed $\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|=O\left(N^{-1 / 2}\right)$
- Meanwhile other function classes known
- Radial basis schemes, Gaussian bumps, (Y. Meyer)

Niyogi, Girosi '98: ball in Besov space $B_{1,1}^{d}\left(\mathfrak{R}^{d}\right) \Rightarrow r=d$

- Stochastic sampling techniques, MC
- Spaces with bounded mixed derivatives
- In any case: some smoothness changes with d


## Concentration of measure

-What means smoothness for $d \rightarrow \infty$ anyway?

- Concentration of measure:(Milman's8, Talagrand'95, Gromov' '99)
f Lipschitz with constant $L$ on d-sphere,
P normalized Lebesgue measure,
X uniformly distributed
Then: $\quad P(|f(X)-E f(X)|>t) \leq c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} t^{2} / L^{2}\right)$
$=>$ every Lipschitz function on sufficiently highdimensional domain is well approximated by constant function! (Hegland, Pozzi 05)


## Lemma of Kolmogorov

- Kolmogorov '56:
ex. $2 \mathrm{~d}+1$ cont. strictly increasing functions $\varphi_{i}:(0,1) \rightarrow(0,1)$
ex. d constants $\lambda_{i}, \sum \lambda_{i} \leq 1$

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 d+1} g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{j} \varphi_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)
$$

for some (non-smooth) $g \in C(0,1)$ dependent on $f$
but: non-constructive result,
G.,Braun 2009: recent constructive proof in Constructive Approximation

- IBC, weighted RK Hilbert spaces, wozniakowski, Sloan =>There is hope for high-dimensional problems


## Approach

- Basic principles:
- 1dim series expansion with decay
- d-dim product construction
- Trunctation of resulting multivariate expansion
- Effect:
- reduction of cost complexity
- nearly same accuracy as „full" product
- necessary: certain smoothness requirements


## Introductory examples

- Napier's multiplication (John Napier (1550-1617)
- Archimedes' approach for pi and Cavalieri's/Fubini's theorem
- Sparse grids for integration, approximation of functions and PDEs, etc.


## Summary

Classical approach: $d=1, ., 3 / 4$ curse of dimension and intractability

$$
\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=c(d) \cdot N^{-r / d}|f|_{H^{s++}}=O\left(N^{-r / d}\right)
$$

## Stronger regularity/norms <br> Lower effective dimension

 curse only wrt log-terms$\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=c(d) \cdot N^{-r}(\log (N))^{(d-1) / 2}|f|_{H_{\text {mitr }}^{\text {tr }}}$
or no curse at all

$$
\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=c(d) \cdot N^{-r}|f|_{H_{m t r}^{\text {str }}}
$$

but still not tractable, constant grows exponentially

$$
d=1, ., 10 / 12
$$ and lower-dim. manifolds no curse due to effective dimension

$\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=c\left(d^{e f f}\right) \cdot N^{-r / d^{d f}}|f|_{H^{s+r}}$ and constant grows exponentially only wrt effective dimension

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=1, \ldots 100 . . \\
& d^{e f f}=1, . ., 10
\end{aligned}
$$

## Function decomposition

- splitting of one-dimensional space $V=C \oplus W$ projection $P: V \rightarrow C$ onto subspace of constants
- splitting of d-dim. space by tensor product

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{(d)}=\bigotimes_{i=1}^{d}\left(C^{(i)} \oplus W^{(i)}\right)=C^{(1)} \times \cdots \times C^{(d)}+ \\
\sum_{i=1}^{d} C^{(1)} \times \cdots \times W^{(i)} \times \cdots \times C^{(d)}+ \\
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{i<j} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{i<j} C^{(1)} \times \cdots \times W^{(i)} \times \cdots \times W^{(i)} \times \cdots \times W^{(j)} \times \cdots \times C^{(d)}+ \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\quad . \\
W^{(1)} \times \cdots \times W^{(k)} \times C^{(d)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Function decomposition

- splitting of associated d-dim function

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)= & \sum_{u \subseteq\{1, \ldots, d\}} f_{u}\left(x_{u}\right) \\
= & f_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{i<j} f_{i, j}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)+ \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{i<j} \sum_{j<k} f_{i, j, k}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}\right)+\cdots+f_{1, \ldots, d}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $2^{\mathrm{d}}$ subspaces, $2^{\mathrm{d}}$ terms
- decomposition into correlations, clusters
- Choice of one-dimensional projector P ?
- integral mean => ANOVA decomposition, induces decomposition of variance of $f$
(Efron, Stein, Wahba, Owen, Hickernell)
- evaluation at one fixed point => Anchor ANOVA


## Function decompositions

- 2d Example:

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}
C_{1} \times W_{2} & W_{1} \times W_{2}
\end{array}
$$

$$
C_{1} \times C_{2} \quad W_{1} \times C_{2}
$$

- 3d Example:

$V^{(3)}=$| $C_{1} \times W_{2} \times W_{3}$ | $W_{1} \times W_{2} \times W / 3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $C_{1} \times C_{2} \times W_{3}$ | $W_{1} \times C_{2} \times W_{3}$ |
| $C_{1} \times W_{2} \times C_{3}$ | $W_{1} \times W_{2} \times C_{3}$ |
| $C_{1} \times C_{2} \times C_{3}$ | $W_{1} \times C_{2} \times C_{3}$ |

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=f_{0}+f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+f_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+f_{1,2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+f_{1,3}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)+f_{2,3}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+f_{1,2,3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$

## Function decompositions

- approximation by truncation after q-order terms

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \approx \sum_{u \subseteq\{1, \ldots, d\},|u| \leq q} f_{u}\left(x_{u}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q=0 \\
& q=1 \\
& q=2 \\
& q=2
\end{aligned}
$$


$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=f_{0}+f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+f_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+f_{1,2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+f_{1,3}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)+f_{2,3}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+f_{1,2,3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$

## Function decompositions

- Fast decay of series or even finite order $\mathrm{q} \ll \mathrm{d}$ ?
- surely not in general, but: consider $f$ as input-output model

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \rightarrow f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)
$$

- „Correlated" effects of the input variables ?
- Many body expansion of potential energy surface of molecular systems: mostly only two-, three- or fourbody potentials (i.e. $q=4$ ) for physical reasons
- Cluster expansions in statistical mechanics
- Statistics: second order, covariances but i.g. not more
- Data-mining: MARS, only up to $q=5, . ., 7$ for real data


## Truncation

- Truncation after q terms introduces a modelling error
- The remaining subspaces needs to be finitely represented => discretization error
- After truncation after q terms no more balancing of modelling error and subsequent discretization error possible.
- Unnatural distinction between modelling error and subsequent discretization error
- better: relate it somehow


## Further decomposition of W: Sparse grids

- decompose 1d subspace $W$ further $W=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} W_{l}$
tensor product and subsequent truncation
=> sparse grid representation
- Fourier series or polynomials (global)
=> Korobov-spaces, hyperbolic cross approximation piecewise polynomials (local)
hierarchical basis, interpolets, wavelets, multilevel basis
=> sparse grid finite element spaces


## History of Sparse Grids

re-invented several times:

1957 Korobov, Babenko
1963 Smolyak
1971 Gordon
1980 Delvos, Posdorf
1990 Zenger, G.
1998 Stromberg, deVore
application areas include:

- quadrature (Novak, Ritter)
- interpolation
- data compression
hyperbolic cross points
blending method
Boolean interpolation
sparse grids
hyperbolic wavelets
- solution of PDEs
- integral equations
- eigenvalue problems


## Example: Hierarchical basis


parabola $f(x)=-(x-1)(x+1)$ in $[-1,1]$

conventional coefficients no decay from level to level

hierarchical coefficients decay by $1 / 4$ from level to level

## Tensor product hierarchical basis

Generalization to higher dimension by tensor product


Table of subspaces $W_{l_{1} l_{2}}$ Idea:
Omit points with small associated hierarchial coefficient values

## Regular sparse grids



## cost complexity (d=2,interior points)


 Table of subspaces $W_{l_{1} l_{2}}$
Contribution

$$
\left\|f_{l_{1}, 2}\right\|_{2} \leq 3^{-2} \cdot 2^{-2\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)} \cdot|f|_{2, \text { mix }}
$$

truncate at level $n$

$\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{8}+\ldots\right) \cdot|f|_{2, \text { mix }} \cdot 3^{-2}$


$$
\left(2^{-2(n+1)}\right) .
$$

$$
\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{8}+\ldots\right) \cdot|f|_{2, \text { mix }} \cdot 3^{-2}
$$


$2^{-2(n+1)} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{8}+\ldots\right) \cdot|f|_{2, \text { mix }} \cdot 3^{-2}$
$2^{-2(n+1)} \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{8}+\ldots\right) \cdot|f|_{2, \text { mix }} \cdot 3^{-2}$
further summation results in
$2^{-2(n+3)} \cdot \ldots$
$\left\|f-f_{n}^{S G}\right\|_{0} \leq c_{2} \cdot n \cdot 2^{n}|f|_{2, \text { mix }}$

## Properties of sparse grids

Cost:

$$
O\left(N(\log N)^{d-1}\right) \text { instead of } O\left(N^{d}\right)
$$

Accuracy: $\quad O\left(N^{-2}(\log N)^{d-1}\right)$
$L_{2}$-norm

$$
O\left(N^{-1}\right)
$$

$O\left(N^{-1}\right) \quad$ energy-norm
Smoothness: $\left|\frac{\partial^{2 d} f}{\partial x_{1}^{2} \ldots \partial x_{d}^{2}}\right| \leq c$
Space and seminorm: $H_{m i x}^{2},|f|_{2}$

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\right| \leq c
$$

$$
H^{2},|f|_{2}
$$

breaks curse of dimension of conventional full grids at least to some extent
Note: higher regularity in mixed derivative, $\mathrm{r} \sim \mathrm{d}$

## $L^{2}$ norm-based sparse grids

- For orthogonal wavelets and general stable multiscale systems we can even obtain

$$
O\left(N^{-2}(\log N)^{(d-1) / 2}\right)
$$

- Hint: estimate directly for squared error.
- Complexities with boundary terms:
- Cost: same order but additional factor of $3^{d}$
- Accuracy same order
- Smoothness assumptions related to variation of Hardy and Krause
- Start multiscale series with constant then linear etc.


## $L^{2}$ norm-based sparse grids

- Representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\mathbf{1}} f_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \mathbf{x}=\left(f_{1}(\mathbf{x}) \in W_{\mathbf{1}}\right. \\
&\left.x_{d}\right) \mathbf{l}=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- cost per subspace $\quad \operatorname{dim}\left(W_{1}\right)=2^{\left[1-1 \|_{1}\right.}$
- benefit for accuracy $\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{2} \leq 3^{-d} \cdot 2^{-2\| \|_{1}} \cdot|f|_{2}=O\left(2^{-2\| \|_{1}}\right)$
- choice of best subspaces ?
=> restricted global optimization problem,
=> local benefit $2 /$ cost ratio

$$
V_{n}^{(d, o p t)}=\bigoplus_{\mid \mathbb{l}_{1}=n+d-1} W_{\mathbf{l}}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ regular sparse grid space

## Energy-norm based sparse grids

- energy norm $\|f\|_{E}:=\left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}}\right)^{2} d \mathbf{x}\right)^{1 / 2}$
- benefit for accuracy

$$
\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{E} \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 12^{(d-1) / 2}} \cdot 2^{-2 \|_{1}} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} 2^{2 l_{j}}\right) \cdot|f|_{2}=O\left(2^{-2 \cdot\| \|_{1}} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} 2^{2 \cdot l_{j}}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

- Now benefit/cost ratio

$$
b^{2}(\mathbf{l}) / c(\mathbf{l}) \approx \frac{2^{-4 \cdot \mid \|_{1}}}{2^{-\|_{1}}} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{d} 2^{2 \cdot l_{j}}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ energy-norm based sparse grid space

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{E})= & l_{2} \\
|\mathbf{l}|_{1}-1 / 5 \cdot \log _{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} 4^{l_{j}}\right) \leq n+d-1-1 / 5 \cdot \log _{2}\left(4^{n}+4 d-4\right) &
\end{array}
$$

## Energy-norm based sparse grids

- Properties: complexities now independent of $d$

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{n}^{d, E}\right)=O\left(2^{n}\right) \quad\left\|f-f_{n}^{E}\right\|_{E}=O\left(2^{-n}\right)
$$

- What about the constants ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{n}^{d, E}\right) \leq \frac{d}{2}\left(1-2^{-2 / 3}\right)^{-d} \cdot 2^{n} \leq \frac{c_{2}}{2} e^{d} \cdot 2^{n} \\
& \left\|f-f_{n}^{E}\right\|_{E} \leq \frac{d}{3^{(d-1) / 2} \cdot 4^{d-1}} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{2}+\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)^{d-1}\right) \cdot|f|_{2} \cdot 2^{-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus:

## $c_{1}$

$$
\left\|f-f_{n}^{E}\right\|_{E} \leq c_{1} \cdot 2^{-n}\left|\underset{2^{-n} \leq c_{2} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{n}^{d, E}\right)^{-1}}{ } f\right|_{2} \leq c_{1} \cdot c_{2} \cdot|f|_{2} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(V_{n}^{d, E}\right)^{-1}
$$

with constant $c_{1} \cdot c_{2}=O\left(d^{2} \cdot 0.97515^{d}\right)$

## Further generalizations

- $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{s}}$-norm based optimal sparse grid spaces (G., Knapek)

$$
s \in(-\infty, \infty)
$$



- More general subspace patterns

Anisotropic
sparse grids

general subset of subspaces

$$
V_{\mathfrak{J}}^{(d)}=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathfrak{I}} W_{\mathbf{I}} \quad \mathfrak{I}=\text { set of indices }
$$

## Further generalizations

- $H_{m i x}^{t, l}$ spaces and regularity assumption (G., Knapek)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{\text {mix }}^{t, l}\left(I^{d}\right):=H^{t+l_{1}}\left(I^{d}\right) \cap \ldots \cap H^{t+e_{\mathrm{e}}}\left(I^{d}\right) \\
& H_{\text {mix }}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(I^{d}\right):=H^{k_{1}}(I) \otimes \ldots \otimes H^{k_{d}}(I)
\end{aligned}
$$

Mixture of the standard Sobolev space

$$
\underset{H^{s}\left(I^{d}\right)=H_{m i x}^{0, s}\left(I^{d}\right)}{ }
$$

and the space of dominating mixed derivative

$$
H_{m i x}^{t}\left(I^{d}\right)=H_{m i x}^{t, 0}\left(I^{d}\right)
$$

Norm equivalency (for stable decompositions, wavelets)

$$
\|f\|_{H_{m i x}^{\prime t}}^{2} \approx \sum_{1} 2^{2 t\left\|_{1}+2 / 2\right\|_{\infty}}\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{0}^{2}
$$

## Further generalizations

- Otimization allows again to determine the best sparse grid spaces $V_{n}^{T}=\underset{1 \in I^{T}}{\oplus} W_{\mathbf{l}}$

$$
I_{n}^{T}=\left\{\mathbf{l} \in N^{d}:-|\mathbf{l}|_{1}^{\mathbf{l} \in I_{n}^{T}}+\frac{s}{t}|\underline{\mathbf{l}}|_{\infty} \geq-(d+n-1)+\frac{s}{t} n\right\}
$$

with approximations like

$$
\inf _{v \in V_{n}^{T}}\|f-v\|_{H^{s}}^{2} \leq c 2^{-2(l+t-s) n}\|u\|_{H_{m i x}^{t l}}^{2}
$$

$$
T
$$

- For a large range of smoothness parameters s,t,l any log-term is avoided in the cost and accuracy estimates
- But the constants may depend strongly on d
- BTW: The solution of Schrödinger 's equation lives in

$$
H_{m i x}^{1,1}\left(\left(\mathfrak{R}^{3}\right)^{d}\right) \quad H_{m i x}^{3 / 4, \varepsilon, 1}\left(\left(\mathfrak{R}^{3}\right)^{d}\right)
$$

## Dimension-adapted sparse grids

- So far: function class known, and a-priori choice of best subspaces by optimization
- Size of benefit/cost ratio indicated if subspace is active => patterns for $\mathfrak{J}$
- Now: for single given function adaptively build up a set $\mathfrak{I}$ of active indices
- Needed:
- „local" error indicator for subspace $W_{1}$
- refinement strategy to build new index set
- global stopping criterion


## Dimension-adaptive methods

- A proper adaptive algorithm then
- uses lower resolution in less important dimensions and correlations
and thus automatically detects
- important dimensions
- important correlations between the dimensions
- large reduction of cost if important dimensions are few (small effective dimension, finite order weight spaces), curse of dimensionality broken
- But: no need to know function class a-priori
(Hegland '01, Gerstner,G. '03, Garcke '04)


## Example (Index Sets)

Evolution of the algorithm:
index sets:


Special data structures for the bookkeeping of the different index sets required. $=>O\left(d^{2}\right)$

## Error Estimation

- differential integral for index I

$$
d_{1}=\left\|f_{1}\right\|
$$

can be used as local error estimate

- problem: too early stopping (no saturation)
- solution: consider also involved work

$$
n_{1}=\left|W_{1}\right|
$$

and use as estimate

$$
\max \left\{w \frac{d_{1}}{d_{1}},(1-w) \frac{n_{1}}{n_{1}}\right\}
$$

with weight

$$
w \in[0,1] .
$$

## A simple example



Figure 4.14: Dimension adaptive refinement with the new ANOVA based admissibility criterion for $f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$ (top row) and $f(x, y)=x^{2} \cdot y^{2}$ (bottom row). Here, indicates a vanishing contribution $f_{l} \equiv 0$ whereas indicates a non-vanishing contribution $f_{l} \not \equiv 0$.

## High nominal but low effective dimension

- Model problem

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\substack{u \in(1,1, d\} \\|x|=q}} \prod_{j \in u} g\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

$$
g(x)=B(\alpha, \beta)^{-1} x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1} \text {, here with } \alpha=2, \beta=5
$$

- We expect a behavior of the method as for a smooth q-dimensional function and cost $O\left(\binom{d}{q} N_{q}\right.$
with $N_{q}$ cost for one smooth q-dimensional problem
effective $q=1$ dimensional


| $d$ | $N_{d}^{1^{-4}}$ | $N_{d}^{\bar{\epsilon}} / N_{1}^{\bar{\epsilon}}$ | $\binom{d}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 223 | 1.0 | 1 |
| 2 | 413 | 1.8 | 2 |
| 3 | 590 | 2.6 | 3 |
| 4 | 762 | 3.4 | 4 |
| 5 | 930 | 4.2 | 5 |
| 6 | 1,096 | 4.9 | 6 |
| 7 | 1,260 | 5.6 | 7 |
| 8 | 1,424 | 6.4 | 8 |
| 9 | 1,586 | 7.1 | 9 |
| 10 | 1,748 | 7.8 | 10 |
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## High nominal but low effective dimension


effective $q=5$ dimensional


| $d$ | $N_{d}^{1^{-2}}$ | $N_{d}^{\bar{\epsilon}} / N_{5}^{\bar{\epsilon}}$ | $\binom{d}{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $2,904,750$ | 1.0 | 1 |
| 6 | $12,153,200$ | 4.2 | 6 |
| 7 | $35,269,600$ | 12.1 | 21 |
| 8 | $85,576,500$ | 29.5 | 56 |
| 9 | $173,730,000$ | 59.8 | 126 |
| $10342,084,000$ | 117.8 | 252 |  |

Cost increase factors for a fixed error 0.0001, right cloumn

## Decay of importance of the dimensions

- Weighted model problem $\quad f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\substack{u[1,(d) \\\langle\mu=4 \\ u=q}} \prod_{j \in u} w_{j} g\left(x_{j}\right)$
$g(x)=\frac{1}{\gamma \pi}\left(1+\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{\gamma}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1}$ with $\gamma=1 / 2, \mathrm{x}_{0}=0.8, w_{j}=2 \cdot 2^{3 / 2(j-1)}$


Figure 4.18: Dimension adaptive interpolation of the weighted superposition (4.118) for dimensions $d=1,2, \ldots, 10$ and the associated weights for the case $d=5$ (ordered by magnitude). See Figure 4.19 for dimension adaptive index sets.

## Decay of importance of the dimensions



Figure 4.19: Dimension adaptive index sets for the experiment of Figure 4.18 in dimensions $d=2, d=3$. Each level $n(1)$ has the same color.

## PDE solver

- Problem
$-\Delta u=h$ in $[0,1]^{d}$
$\frac{\partial}{\partial n} f=$ on $\partial[0,1]^{d}$
$f(0, \ldots, 0)=f_{0}$
right hand side of finite order $\mathrm{q}=4$

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\substack{u[\{1,1, d, d\} \\|x|=q}} \prod_{j \in u} g\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

$$
g(x)=\frac{1}{\gamma \pi}\left(1+\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{\gamma}\right)^{2}\right)^{-1} \text { with } \gamma=1 / 2, \mathrm{x}_{0}=0.8
$$

Effective four-dimensional PDE
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## Locally adaptive sparse grids for PDEs




- principle: refine near points with large hierarchical coefficient nonlinear N -term approximation
- for Besov spaces: same rates as isotropic nonlinear refinement schemes (wavelets, adaptive finite elements) (Nitsche, Schwab)
- line/face singularities aligned with coordinate axes are cheap to resolve


## 2D Navier-Stokes equation



- 2D mixing layer
- Chorin projection scheme, incompressible flow
- $\mathrm{Re}=\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{v}=16000$
- pertubations for initial condition
- evolution of |vorticity| and adaptive grids



## 3D Navier-Stokes equations

- 3D Mixing layer
- initial conditions analogous to 2D
- $\mathrm{Re}=4000$
- discretization as before
- number of DOF between 1 ... 2 million
- three different isosurfaces of vorticity



## Implementation for higher dimensional PDEs

- naive implementation of sparse grids for PDEs: $\begin{array}{ll}\text { work count } & O\left(d^{2} 2^{d} \tilde{N}\right) \\ \text { storage } & O(d \tilde{N})\end{array}$

$$
\tilde{N}=O(d o f)
$$

- new data structures and multigrid algorithms, use of unidirectional principle, hash techniques
- separable, non-constant coefficient functions now: work count $O\left(d^{2} \tilde{N}\right)$ storage $\quad O(d \tilde{N})$
- elliptic PDEs possible with up to 120 dimensions with homogeneous bc and product-type right hand side (Feuersänger).


## Implementation for higher dimensional PDEs

- implementation uses semi-orthogonal prewavelets instead of piecewise linear hat functions

- orthogonality between levels simplifies mass matrix contributions and results in improved complexity w.r.t dimension $d$
- Full orthogonal wavelets would reduce complexity to just $O(d N)$ but are more difficult to work with


## Example: 2nd order PDE

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
-\Delta f(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{d} b_{k}(x) \partial^{k} f(x)+c(x) f(x)=r(x), x \in \Omega=[0,1]^{d} \\
f(x)=0, x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}
$$

We see the influence of the $\log (N)^{(d-1)}$-terms an energy norm approach and adaptivity is necessary

## Caveat

- the regularity term $|f|_{2, \text { mix }}$ might cause problems and can postpone the onset of convergence
- Example 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1: } f\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{d}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin \left(2 \pi k_{j} x_{j}\right) \\
& D^{(2,2)} f\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{d}\right)=(-1)^{d} \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(2 \pi k_{j}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin \left(2 \pi k_{j} x_{j}\right) \\
& \\
& |f|_{2, m i x}=(2 \pi)^{2 d} \prod_{j=1}^{d} k_{j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus at most 15-18 dimensions treatable in practice


## Gaussian

- Example: $f\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{d}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi \sigma)^{d / 2}} \exp \left(-x^{T} x / \sigma\right)$
- Energy-norm based sparse grid in $\Omega=[-5 \sigma, 5 \sigma]^{d}$
- Rate for relative error in energy norm is asymptotically

$$
\approx 2^{d / 2} 2^{-n}=2^{-(n-d / 2)}
$$

- Necessary:

$$
n-d / 2>0
$$



## Tensor product sparse grids

- So far: one-dimensional domain, multiscale basis, d-fold tensor product, proper truncation
- Now: E.g. two general domains $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$, each with
- its dimension $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and its smoothness $s_{1}, s_{2}$
- its isotropic multilevel basis (one level index)
- tensor product between the two domains and multiscale bases
$\Omega=\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$

- Mixed regularity $H_{m i x}^{s_{1} s_{2}}(\Omega):=H^{s_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \times H^{s_{2}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)$


## Tensor product sparse grids

- Examples:
- space $\times$ time, $d_{1}=3, d_{2}=1$, parabolic problems
- space $\times$ angle $d_{1}=3, d_{2}=2$, radiosity
- space $\times$ parameters $d_{1}=3, d_{2}=10-20$
but smooth in parameter variables
- space $\times$ stochastics $d_{1}=3, d_{2}=\infty$
but analytic in stochastic variables
- Main result: curse of dimension only w.r.t. the larger dimension and/or the lower smoothness
- Time comes for free, angle space comes for free, parametrization/stochastics comes for free, just space dimension matters


## Optimized general sparse grid space

- Multiscale analyses on $\Omega_{i}, i=1,2$ with associated approximation order $r_{i}$

$$
V_{0}^{(i)} \subset V_{1}^{(i)} \subset V_{2}^{(i)} \subset \ldots \subset L^{2}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)
$$

- Complementary spaces:

$$
W_{l_{i}}^{(i)} \quad V_{l_{i}, ~}^{(i)}=W_{l_{i}}^{(i)} \oplus V_{l_{i}-1}^{(i)}
$$

- Anisotropic sparse grid space:

$$
\widehat{V}_{n}^{\sigma}=\underset{l_{1} \sigma+l_{2} / \sigma \leq n}{\oplus} W_{l_{1}}^{(1)} \oplus W_{l_{2}}^{(2)}
$$

- Parameter $\sigma$ now allows to optimize with respect to dimensions $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and smoothness $s_{1}, s_{2}$


## Properties (G.+Harbrecht 2011)

- The sparse grid space $\hat{V}_{J}^{\sigma}$ possesses

$$
\widehat{V}_{n}^{\sigma} \sim\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
2^{n \max \left\{d_{1} / \sigma, n_{2} \sigma\right\}} & \text { if } d_{1} / \sigma \neq d_{2} \sigma \\
n \cdot 2^{n d_{2} \sigma} & \text { if } d_{1} / \sigma=d_{2} \sigma
\end{array}\right.
$$

degrees of freedom.

- For a given $f \in H_{m i x}^{s, s, 2}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ with $0<s_{1} \leq r_{1}, 0<s_{2} \leq r_{2}$ we have for the accuracy
- No log-terms in many situations
- Analogous results by simple shift for other error norms like $H_{m i x}^{q_{1}, q_{2}}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$ than just for $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}\right)$


## Space-time sparse grids

- Approximation error and necessary regularity

$$
\inf _{u_{n} \in V_{n}^{0^{0}}}\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega) \otimes L^{2}(0, T)} \leq c 2^{-n}\|u\|_{H^{2}(\Omega) \otimes H^{2}((0, T))}
$$

- How realistic are these regularity assumptions?
- $\partial_{\vec{x}}^{2} \partial_{t}^{2} u$ is also needed for error estimates of conventional discretization methods
- classical regularity theory shows (Ladyzenskaja, Wloka)

$$
u \in H^{2}(\Omega) \otimes H^{2}((0, T))
$$

- Space-time sparse grids possess the same approximation rate as conventional full space-time grids but only the cost complexity of space problem
=> time coordinate comes for free


## Examples of space time sparse grids

space dimension 1, space-time sparse grid, Euler case

space dimension 2, space-time sparse grid, Cranck-Nicolson case, $n=4,5$ :


in each time slice there is a conventional full grid

## Instationary distributed control problems

## space dimension 2, adaptive space time grids

## Problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} y-\Delta y-10^{3} p=0 \text { in } \Omega \times(0,1] \\
& -\partial_{t} p-\Delta p+y=1 \text { in } \Omega \times[0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$


with homogeneous initial/end and boundary conditions
Adaptivity with 5 refinement steps starting at level 3


## Instationary distributed control problems


state
$\mathrm{t}=1.0$


## Instationary distributed control problems space dimension=3, adaptive space time grids

Problem: $\partial_{t} y-\Delta y-10^{3} p=0$ in $\Omega \times(0,1]$,

$$
-\partial_{t} p-\Delta p+y=1 \text { in } \Omega \times[0,1),
$$

with homogeneous initial/end and boundary conditions
Adaptivity with 4 refinement steps starting at level 3 $t=0$, state variable, four isosurfaces

$t=1$, control variable, four isosurfaces


## Stochastic and parametric PDEs

- Solutions $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)$ of stochastic/parametric PDEs

$$
-\nabla \cdot A\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \nabla f\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)=r\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)
$$

live on product $\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \in \Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$

- of spatial domain $\Omega_{1}$ with $d_{1}=1,2,3$
- and stochastic/parametric domain $\Omega_{2}$ with $d_{2}$ large or even infinity.
- Often: Very high smoothness in $\mathbf{x}_{2}$-part Here: especially weighted analyticity for the different coordinates due to decay in covariance
- Therefore, even infinite-dimensional $\Omega_{2}$ become treatable independently of $d_{2}$


## Stochastic and parametric PDEs

- Sparse grids methods can be used for $\Omega_{2}$ to cope with its high dimensionality !
- The stochastic part is smooth or even analytic
- sparse grids with spectral, polynomial bases
- The stochastic coordinates are not equally important
- weights/decay of the different coordinate directions related to the eigenvalues of covariance of parameters, algebraic or exponential!
- Then, anisotropic sparse grids (with spectral, polynomial bases) and dimension-adaptive sparse grids are successfully used
- The decay kills the curse, the sparse grid approach then reduces the dimension-dependency of the constant
- Moreover: The two-variate sparse grid product approach works fine between the spatial domain and the parametric/ stochastic domain.


## Sparse grids and analytic functions

- What may happen if the function is too smooth ?
- 1-D: $L_{2}$-orthogonal polynomial basis $\left\{\phi_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}_{k \in \mathrm{~N} 0}$
- d-D: Product of polynomials $\quad \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})=\phi_{k_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \phi_{k_{d}}\left(x_{2}\right)$
- Here: the isotropic case:
- Representation $f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathrm{N}_{0}^{d}} f_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad\left|f_{\mathbf{k}}\right|^{2} \leq c \cdot 2^{-2\left(k_{1}+\ldots+k_{d}\right)}$
regular
sparse grid
- cost:
- accuracy ${ }^{2}$ :

- Anisotropic case: no curse, but still d-dependent constants


## Stochastic and parametric PDEs

- Weighted analytic approximation space for $\Omega_{2}$

Let be given an ordered real sequence $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{i}\right)_{i_{\mathrm{EN}}}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq a_{3} \geq \ldots \text { and a fixed base } b>1 \\
& A_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right): \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in N_{0}^{N_{0}^{2}}} b^{2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_{i} / a_{i}}}\left|f_{\mathbf{k}}\right|^{2} \leq C<\infty\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Characterization of a-weighted analytic functions

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{d_{2}}} f_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad\left|f_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \leq c \cdot b^{-\sum_{i=1}^{d_{2}} k_{i} / a_{i}}
$$

## Discrete anisotropic, regular grid subspace

- Index set, brick-type with successively smaller size

$$
I_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}}(n):=\left\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathrm{N}_{0}^{d_{2}}: k_{i} / a_{i} \leq n \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq d_{2}\right\}
$$

- Corresponding subspace

$$
V_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, n}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{k} \in I_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}}(n)\right\}
$$



## Discrete regular grid subspace

- Degrees of freedom

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{d_{2}, \mathrm{a}, n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{d_{2}}\left(1+\left\lfloor n \cdot a_{i} \mid\right) \leq \exp \left(n \cdot \sum_{i} a_{i}\right)\right.
$$

- With the summability condition $\sum_{i} a_{i} \leq A<\infty$ we get, independently of $d_{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(V_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, n}\right) \leq \exp (n \cdot A)
$$

- Accuracy: about linear in $n$, mainly independent of $d_{2}$

$$
\left\|f-f_{V_{d_{2,2, n}, n}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega \Omega_{2}\right)} \leq\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqrt{d_{2}} \cdot b^{-n} & \text { in any case } \\
b^{-\mu(n)} & \text { for } & \mu(n):=\min _{r}\left\{\left|n \cdot a_{r}\right|=0\right. \\
b^{-(1-\varepsilon) \cdot n} & \text { if } & 1 / a_{r}-1 / a_{s} \geq(r-s) \delta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Stochastic and parametric PDEs

- With the sequence

$$
V_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, 0} \subset V_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, 1} \subset V_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, 2} \subset \ldots \subset \mathrm{~L}^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)
$$

and associated sequence of complimentary spaces

$$
W_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, j} \quad V_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, j}=W_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, j} \oplus V_{d_{2}, \mathbf{a}, j-1}
$$

we get, together with the usual sequence of complementary spaces on $\Omega_{1}$, a two-variate sparse grid construction on $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2^{2}}$, which is independent of the dimension $d_{2}$ (even if $d_{2}^{2^{2}}=\infty$ ).

- The sparse grid product approach works fine between the spatial and the parametric/ stochastic domains.


## Summary

Classical approach: $d=1, \ldots, 3$ or 4 curse of dimension and intractability

$$
\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=c(d) \cdot N^{-r / d}|f|_{H^{s+r}}=O\left(N^{-r / d}\right)
$$

## Stronger regularity/norms

 curse only wrt log-terms$\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=c(d) \cdot N^{-r}(\log (N))^{(d-1) / 2}|f|_{H_{n i x}^{++t}}$ no curse due to effective
Lower effective dimension and lower-dim. manifolds dimension
or no curse at all

$$
\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=c(d) \cdot N^{-r}|f|_{H_{m i t}^{\text {str }}}
$$

$$
\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|_{H^{s}}=c\left(d^{e f f}\right) \cdot N^{-r / d^{d f}}|f|_{H^{s+r}}
$$

and constant grows
but still not tractable, constant grows exponentially

$$
d=1, . ., 10 \text { to } 12 \quad d=1, \ldots, 100 \quad d^{\text {eff }}=1, . ., 10
$$
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