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## Split cuts

## The algebra

Based on a disjunction

$$
\pi^{T} x \leq \pi_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad \pi^{T} x \geq \pi_{0}+1
$$

is valid for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ when $\pi, \pi_{0}$ are integer.
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## More about split cuts

## The split closure

Consider a polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the intersection of all split cuts of $P$ is called the (first) split closure of $P$, denoted by $\mathrm{SC}(P)$.

## Some previous results

## More about split cuts

## The split closure

Consider a polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the intersection of all split cuts of $P$ is called the (first) split closure of $P$, denoted by $\operatorname{SC}(P)$.

## Some previous results

- Cook, Kannan, Schrijver [1990] The split closure is a polyhedron
- Lift-and-project, Chvátal-Gomory cuts are split cuts
- Nemhauser, Wolsey [1988] MIR inequalities are split cuts and MIR closure and split closure are equivalent
- Cook, Kannan, Schrijver [1990] The number of rounds of split cuts to apply to obtain the integer hull of a polyhedron might be infinite
- Balas, Saxena [2006] Optimizing over the split closure
- Dash, Günlük, Lodi [2007] On the MIR closure
- Vielma [2006] New constructive proof that the MIR closure is a polyhedron
- Andersen, Cornuéjols, Li [2005] Every split cut of $P$ is also a split cut of a basis of $P$ (maybe infeasible).
Split cuts are intersection cuts [Balas 1971]
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## Lattice-point-free polyhedra

A polyhedron $P$ is lattice-point-free when there is no integer point in its interior.


A triangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ can be lattice-point-free
It can be lifted to a lattice-point-free polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

## Towards high-dimensional splits

## Lattice-point-free polyhedra

A polyhedron $P$ is lattice-point-free when there is no integer point in its interior.

$\operatorname{conv}\{v, w, x\}+\operatorname{span}\{r\}$

## Towards high-dimensional splits

## Lattice-point-free polyhedra

A polyhedron $P$ is lattice-point-free when there is no integer point in its interior.


$$
\operatorname{conv}\{v, w, x\}+\operatorname{span}\{r\}
$$

## Definition of the split dimension

A lattice-point-free polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be written as

$$
P=\operatorname{conv}\left\{v^{1}, \ldots, v^{p}\right\}+\operatorname{cone}\left\{w^{1}, \ldots, w^{q}\right\}+\operatorname{span}\left\{r^{1}, \ldots, r^{n-d}\right\}
$$

The split-dimension of $P$ is $d$.

## Classical Farkas Lemma

## The continuous Farkas Lemma [Farkas, 1902]

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A x \leq b & \\
x \geq 0 & y^{\top} A \geq 0 \\
x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} & \text { is empty if and only if } \\
& y^{\top} b<0 \\
\text { for some } y \in \mathbb{R}^{m} .
\end{array}
$$

## Example

(1) $10 x_{1}+14 x_{2} \leq 35$
(2) $-x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 0$
(3) $\quad-x_{2} \leq-2$

A certificate of infeasibility
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## The continuous Farkas Lemma [Farkas, 1902]

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A x \leq b & & y^{T} A \geq 0 \\
x \geq 0 & \text { is empty if and only if } & y^{T} b<0 \\
x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} & & \text { for some } y \in \mathbb{R}^{m} .
\end{array}
$$

## Example

(1) $10 x_{1}+14 x_{2} \leq 35$
(2) $-x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 0$
(3) $\quad-x_{2} \leq-2$

A certificate of infeasibility

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 8 & 21
\end{array}\right)^{T} \\
& \text { (1) } 10 x_{1}+14 x_{2} \leq 35 \\
& \text { 8(2) }-8 x_{1}+8 x_{2} \leq 0 \\
& \text { 21(3) }-21 x_{2} \leq-42
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
2 x_{1}+\quad x_{2} \leq-7
$$

## Classical Integral Farkas Lemma

## The Integral Farkas Lemma [Kronecker 1884]

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$,
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## The Integral Farkas Lemma [Kronecker 1884]

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=b \\
& x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { is empty if and only if } \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}^{m} \text { with } \begin{aligned}
& y^{\top} A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
& y^{\top} b \notin \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

(1) $3 x_{1}+x_{2}-5 x_{3}+x_{4}-7 x_{5}=1$
(2) $7 x_{1}-3 x_{2}-3 x_{3}-2 x_{4}+5 x_{5}=5$
(3) $2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+6 x_{4}=1$
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## Example

(1) $3 x_{1}+x_{2}-5 x_{3}+x_{4}-7 x_{5}=1$
(2) $7 x_{1}-3 x_{2}-3 x_{3}-2 x_{4}+5 x_{5}=5$
(3) $2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+6 x_{4}=1$

The certificate

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
\frac{1}{3}(1) \\
\frac{1}{3}(2)
\end{gathered} x_{1}+\frac{1}{3} x_{2}-\frac{5}{3} x_{3}+\frac{1}{3} x_{4}-\frac{7}{3} x_{5}=\frac{1}{3} x_{1}-2 x_{2}-2 x_{3}-\frac{4}{3} x_{4}+\frac{10}{3} x_{5}=\frac{10}{3} .
$$
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## The Integral Farkas Lemma [Kronecker 1884]

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=b \\
& x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { is empty if and only if } \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}^{m} \text { with } \begin{aligned}
& y^{\top} A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
& y^{\top} b \notin \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

The certificate

$$
y=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\frac{1}{3}(1) & x_{1}+\frac{1}{3} x_{2}-\frac{5}{3} x_{3}+\frac{1}{3} x_{4}-\frac{7}{3} x_{5} & =\frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3}(2) & \frac{14}{3} x_{1}-2 x_{2}-2 x_{3}-\frac{4}{3} x_{4}+\frac{10}{3} x_{5} & =\frac{10}{3} \\
\frac{2}{3}(3) & \frac{4}{3} x_{1}+\frac{2}{3} x_{2}+\frac{2}{3} x_{3}+4 x_{4} & =\frac{2}{3}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\sum 7 x_{1}-x_{2}-3 x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5}=\frac{13}{3}
$$

## Geometric interpretation of the Integral Farkas Lemma

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A x=b & \left\{v^{*}\right\}+\operatorname{span}\left\{w^{1}, \ldots, w^{d}\right\} \\
y^{\top} A & \text { subset of } \operatorname{span}\left\{w^{1} \ldots \ldots w^{d}\right\}+ \\
y^{\top} b \notin \mathbb{Z} & \text { there exists } \pi \in \operatorname{span}\left\{w^{1} \ldots w^{d}\right\}+\cap \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
& \text { with } \pi^{\top} v^{*} \notin \mathbb{Z} .
\end{array}
$$

Equivalent to say that $L=\left\{\left\lfloor\pi^{T} v^{*}\right\rfloor \leq \pi^{T} x \leq\left\lceil\pi^{T} v^{*}\right\rceil\right\}$ contains $A x=b$ in its interior. Existence of a split proving that $\Delta x=b \cap \mathbb{\pi}^{n}=\emptyset$
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## Natural extension for one range inequality

## Integral Farkas Lemma with one range inequality [Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A x=b \\
I \leq c x \leq u \quad=\emptyset \quad \text { iff } \quad \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}^{m}, z \in \mathbb{Q}_{+} \text {with } & \left(y^{\top} z\right)\binom{A}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} & {\left[y^{\top} b+z I, y^{\top} b+z u\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}=\emptyset}
\end{array}
$$

## Natural extension for one range inequality

## Integral Farkas Lemma with one range inequality [Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A x=b \\
I \leq c x \leq u \quad=\emptyset \quad \text { iff } \quad \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}^{m}, z \in \mathbb{Q}+\text { with } & \left(y^{\top} z\right)\binom{A}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} & {\left[y^{\top} b+z l, y^{\top} b+z u\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}=\emptyset}
\end{array}
$$

## Example

(1) $2 x_{1}+x_{2}+3 x_{3}-x_{4}=3$
(2) $6 x_{1}-x_{2}-2 x_{3}+x_{4}=5$
(3) $5 \leq 4 x_{2}+x_{3}-4 x_{4} \leq 8$

## Natural extension for one range inequality

## Integral Farkas Lemma with one range inequality [Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
A x=b \\
I \leq c x \leq u \quad=\emptyset \quad \text { iff } \quad \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}^{m}, z \in \mathbb{Q}_{+} \text {with } \\
x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{array} & \left(y^{\top} z\right)\binom{A}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
& {\left[y^{\top} b+z I, y^{\top} b+z u\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}=\emptyset}
\end{array}
$$

## Example

(1) $2 x_{1}+x_{2}+3 x_{3}-x_{4}=3$
(2) $6 x_{1}-x_{2}-2 x_{3}+x_{4}=5$
(3) $5 \leq 4 x_{2}+x_{3}-4 x_{4} \leq 8$

The certificate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{5}
\end{array}\right), z=\frac{1}{5} \\
& \frac{2}{5}(1) \frac{6}{5} \\
& \frac{1}{5}(2)=\frac{4}{5} x_{1}+\frac{2}{5} x_{2}+\frac{6}{5} x_{3}-\frac{2}{5} x_{4}=\frac{6}{5} \\
& \frac{1}{5}(3) \\
& \frac{1}{5} x_{1}-\frac{1}{5} x_{2}-\frac{2}{5} x_{3}+\frac{1}{5} x_{4}=1 \\
& \frac{4}{5} x_{2}+\frac{1}{5} x_{3}-\frac{4}{5} x_{4} \leq \frac{8}{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Natural extension for one range inequality

## Integral Farkas Lemma with one range inequality [Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A x=b \\
I \leq c x \leq u \quad=\emptyset \quad \text { iff } \quad \exists y \in \mathbb{Q}^{m}, z \in \mathbb{Q}_{+} \text {with } & \left(y^{\top} z\right)\binom{A}{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} & {\left[y^{\top} b+z l, y^{\top} b+z u\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}=\emptyset}
\end{array}
$$

The certificate

$$
y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{5} & \frac{1}{5}
\end{array}\right), z=\frac{1}{5}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{5}(1) & \frac{6}{5}=\frac{4}{5} x_{1}+\frac{2}{5} x_{2}+\frac{6}{5} x_{3}-\frac{2}{5} x_{4}=\frac{6}{5} \\
\frac{1}{5}(2) & 1=\frac{6}{5} x_{1}-\frac{1}{5} x_{2}-\frac{2}{5} x_{3}+\frac{1}{5} x_{4}=1 \\
\frac{1}{5}(3) & 1 \leq \quad \frac{4}{5} x_{2}+\frac{1}{5} x_{3}-\frac{4}{5} x_{4} \leq \frac{8}{5}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\sum \quad \frac{16}{5} \leq 2 x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4} \leq \frac{19}{5}
$$

## Geometry of the Farkas Lemma with one range inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=b \\
& I \leq c x \leq u
\end{aligned}
$$

## Geometry of the Farkas Lemma with one range inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=b \\
& I \leq c x \leq u
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{*}+\operatorname{span}\left\{w^{1}, \ldots, w^{d}\right\}, \\
& \text { with edge } E^{*}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{v_{1}^{*}, v_{2}^{*}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Existence of a split that contains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=b \quad \text { in its interior } \\
& I \leq c x \leq u
\end{aligned}
$$

## Geometry of the Farkas Lemma with one range inequality

$A x=b$
$1 \leq c x \leq u$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{*}+\operatorname{span}\left\{w^{1}, \ldots, w^{d}\right\}, \\
& \text { with edge } E^{*}=\operatorname{conv}\left\{v_{1}^{*}, v_{2}^{*}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Existence of a split that contains $\begin{aligned} & A x=b \\ & I \leq c x \leq u\end{aligned}$ in its interior


## Extension of an Integral Farkas Lemma to Systems with Inequalities

## Idea

$$
\begin{align*}
& A x=b \\
& C x \leq d  \tag{1}\\
& x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

The bigger $\operatorname{rank}(C)$, the more complicate the certificate of infeasibility. (1) is infeasible if and only if $\{A x=b, C x \leq d\}$ is contained in the interior of a lattice-point-free polyhedron of split-dimension equal to $\operatorname{rank}(C)$.

## Integral Farkas Lemma for Systems with Equalities and Inequalities

A certificate of infeasibility of (1) is an integral infeasible linear system (derived from the rows of (1)) with as many variables as rank( $C$ ).

## Extension of an Integral Farkas Lemma to Systems with Inequalities

## Idea

$$
\begin{align*}
& A x=b \\
& C x \leq d  \tag{1}\\
& x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

The bigger $\operatorname{rank}(C)$, the more complicate the certificate of infeasibility. (1) is infeasible if and only if $\{A x=b, C x \leq d\}$ is contained in the interior of a lattice-point-free polyhedron of split-dimension equal to $\operatorname{rank}(C)$.

## Integral Farkas Lemma for Systems with Equalities and Inequalities

[Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]
A certificate of infeasibility of (1) is an integral infeasible linear system (derived from the rows of (1)) with as many variables as rank( $C$ ).

## Example with $\operatorname{rank}(C)=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) } x_{1}+2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}=0 \\
& \text { (2) }-3 x_{1}+4 x_{2} \quad \leq 0 \\
& \text { (3) }-x_{1}-2 x_{2} \leq-3 \\
& \text { (4) } 2 x_{1}-x_{2} \leq 5
\end{aligned}
$$



It is a system with 2 variables and 3 inequalities
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& \text { (2) }-3 x_{1}+4 x_{2} \quad \leq 0 \\
& \text { (3) }-x_{1}-2 x_{2} \leq-3 \\
& \text { (4) } 2 x_{1}-x_{2} \leq 5
\end{aligned}
$$

A certificate
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## Example with $\operatorname{rank}(C)=2$

| (1) | $x_{1}+2 x_{2}+3 x_{3}$ |  | $=0$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| (2) | $-3 x_{1}+4 x_{2}$ | $\leq 0$ |  |
| (3) | $-x_{1}-2 x_{2}$ | $\leq-3$ |  |
| (4) | $2 x_{1}-x_{2}$ | $\leq 5$ |  |

A certificate

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{3}(1)+\frac{1}{12}(2): & x_{2}+x_{3} \leq-\frac{1}{12} x_{1} \\
\frac{1}{3}(1)-\frac{1}{6}(3): & x_{2}+x_{3} \geq-\frac{1}{2} x_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{3}(1)-\frac{1}{3}(4): & x_{2}+x_{3} \geq \frac{1}{3} x_{1}-\frac{5}{3} .
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v^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \text { and } v^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1
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An inequality description of $L$ is $\pi^{k} x \leq \pi_{0}^{k}$.
$\pi^{k}$ are linear combinations of $v^{1}, v^{2}$
We can rewrite the system using 2 variables corresponding to $v^{1}$ and $v^{2}$ respectively.

## Integral Farkas Lemma for Systems with Equalities and Inequalities

## Theorem [Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, C \in \mathbb{Z}^{p \times n}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(C)=L$.

$$
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\end{aligned}
$$

is empty if and only if

- $\exists L$ linearly independent $v^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that

- the system in variables $z$ (representing $\left.\left(v^{i}\right)^{\top} x\right)$


[^0]
## Integral Farkas Lemma for Systems with Equalities and Inequalities

## Theorem [Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, C \in \mathbb{Z}^{p \times n}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(C)=L$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=b \\
& C x \leq d \\
& x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

is empty if and only if

- $\exists y^{1}, \ldots, y^{t} \in \mathbb{Q}^{m} \times \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{p}$
- $\exists L$ linearly independent $v^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that

- the system in variables $z$ (representing $\left.\left(v^{i}\right)^{\top} x\right)$


[^1]
## Integral Farkas Lemma for Systems with Equalities and Inequalities

## Theorem [Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, C \in \mathbb{Z}^{p \times n}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(C)=L$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=b \\
& C x \leq d \\
& x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

is empty if and only if

- $\exists y^{1}, \ldots, y^{t} \in \mathbb{Q}^{m} \times \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{p}$
- $\exists L$ linearly independent $v^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left(y^{k}\right)^{T}\left[\begin{array}{l}
A \\
C
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{1} \lambda_{i}^{k} v^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \text { with } \lambda_{i}^{k} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

- the system in variables $z$ (representing $\left(v^{i}\right)^{T} x$ )



## Integral Farkas Lemma for Systems with Equalities and Inequalities

## Theorem [Andersen, L. , Weismantel 2007]

Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, C \in \mathbb{Z}^{p \times n}$ with $\operatorname{rank}(C)=L$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A x=b \\
& C x \leq d \\
& x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

is empty if and only if

- $\exists y^{1}, \ldots, y^{t} \in \mathbb{Q}^{m} \times \mathbb{Q}_{+}^{p}$
- $\exists L$ linearly independent $v^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left(y^{k}\right)^{T}\left[\begin{array}{l}
A \\
C
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{1} \lambda_{i}^{k} v^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \text { with } \lambda_{i}^{k} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$
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has no integral solution.

## Some remarks about the lemma

- Consistent for $\operatorname{rank}(C)=0$ or 1 .
$\operatorname{rank}(C)=0$ : system with 0 variables $y^{\top} b \notin \mathbb{Z}$
$\operatorname{rank}(C)=1:$ system with 1 variable $I \leq z \leq u$
- For $\operatorname{rank}(C)=2$, the certificate is made of 3 or 4 inequalities Follows from [Andersen, L., Weismantel, Wolsey, IPCO2007]
- For $\operatorname{rank}(C) \geq 3$, the number of inequalities in the certificate can be arbitrarily large
- Proposition

The feasibility problem $\{A x=b, C x \leq d\}$ where $\operatorname{rank}(C)$ is fixed is in co-NP.

The proof follows from the fact that IP in fixed dimension is in P ([Lenstra 1983]) and that any infeasible IP in $n$ variables is also infeasible on $2^{n}$ constraints ([Doignon 1973])

- What about my favourite problem $\left\{A x=b, C x \leq d, x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}\right\}$ ?
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... Unfortunately, nothing new!


## Using the lattice-point-free polyhedra to generate cuts

## The algebra

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be a polyhedron and $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a lattice-point-free polyhedron. We define a set of cuts, valid for $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \mid x \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$ as

$$
\operatorname{cuts}_{P}(L)=\operatorname{conv}\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} \mid(x, y) \in P \text { and } x \notin \operatorname{int}(L)\right\} .
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## Open question
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Family of polyhedra of dimension $n+1$ with an infinite $n$-dimensional split rank

Constructed in the same way :
a $n$-dimensional lattice-point-free polyhedron with integer points on the interior of each facet
lifted by an $\epsilon$ in a $(n+1)$ th variable
$P=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\left(n e_{1}, 0\right),\left(n e_{2}, 0\right), \ldots,\left(n e_{n}, 0\right),\left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{1}, \epsilon\right)\right\}$

Family of polyhedra of dimension $n+1$ with an infinite $n$-dimensional split rank

Constructed in the same way :

- a $n$-dimensional lattice-point-free polyhedron with integer points on the interior of each facet
lifted by an $\epsilon$ in a $(n+1)$ th variable
$P=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\left(n e_{1}, 0\right),\left(n e_{2}, 0\right), \ldots,\left(n e_{n}, 0\right),\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}, \epsilon\right)\right\}$

Family of polyhedra of dimension $n+1$ with an infinite $n$-dimensional split rank

Constructed in the same way :

- a $n$-dimensional lattice-point-free polyhedron with integer points on the interior of each facet
- lifted by an $\epsilon$ in a $(n+1)$ th variable



## Family of polyhedra of dimension $n+1$ with an infinite $n$-dimensional split

 rankConstructed in the same way:

- a $n$-dimensional lattice-point-free polyhedron with integer points on the interior of each facet
- lifted by an $\epsilon$ in a $(n+1)$ th variable

$$
P=\operatorname{conv}\left\{\left(n e_{1}, 0\right),\left(n e_{2}, 0\right), \ldots,\left(n e_{n}, 0\right),\left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{1}, \epsilon\right)\right\}
$$



## Conclusions

- Lattice-point-free polyhedra provide a new geometric interpretation of cutting planes
- How to use them in practice? Closed form formulae?
- What is the split rank of the cuts generated (sometimes infinite but not always)?
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