

Fourier Integral Operators and Applications - Lecture 3

Allan Greenleaf¹

Microlocal Analysis: Theory and Applications

Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures
Summer School

June 11, 2021

¹Based on 2019 MSRI lectures with Raluca Felea.

Overview of Lecture 3

- 1 Symbol calculus of Fourier integral distributions
- 2 Canonical relations
- 3 Fourier integral operators
- 4 FIO calculus: Functional and composition
- 5 Applications: Egorov's Theorem and generalized Radon transforms
- 6 Some extensions of FIO theory (in online notes)
- 7 Readings for all three lectures

Symbol calculus of Fourier integral distributions

For $\Lambda \subset T^*X^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$ a smooth (immersed) conic Lagrangian,

$$I^m(X; \Lambda) = \text{all locally finite sums of } u \in \mathcal{D}'(X)$$

given by oscillatory integrals

$$u = u(a, \phi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N_\phi}} e^{i\phi(x, \theta)} a(x, \theta) d\theta, \quad a \in S_{1,0}^{m - \frac{N_\phi}{2} + \frac{n}{4}},$$

with $\phi(x, \theta)$ a nondeg phase on $X \times (\mathbb{R}^{N_\phi} \setminus 0)$ which **parametrizes** Λ :

$$Crit_\phi := \{(x, \theta) : d_\theta \phi(x, \theta) = 0\}$$

$$j \downarrow$$

$$\Lambda_\phi := \{(x, d_x \phi) : (x, \theta) \in Crit_\phi\} \stackrel{\text{rel open}}{\subseteq} \Lambda.$$

Symbol calculus of Fourier integral distributions

- Define n -form μ_ϕ on $Crit_\phi$ by requiring

$$\mu_\phi \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1}\right) \cdots \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_N}\right) = dx_1 \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge d\theta_1 \cdots \wedge \theta_N$$

- If λ_i are local coord on $Crit_\phi$ then $\mu_\phi = fd\lambda_1 \cdots \wedge d\lambda_n$, with

$$f = \frac{dx_1 \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge d\theta_1 \cdots \wedge \theta_N}{d\lambda_1 \cdots \wedge d\lambda_n \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1}\right) \cdots \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_n}\right)}$$

- To obtain an invariantly defined principal symbol, $\sigma_{prin}(u)$, if

$$a^0 := [a|_{Crit_\phi}] \in S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}} / S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}-1},$$

Def. The **principal symbol** $\sigma_{prin}(u)$ of $u(a, \phi)$ is the push-forward of the half-density $a^0 \sqrt{\mu_\phi}$ from $Crit_\phi$ to Λ . [Ignores **Maslov index**.]

Symbol calculus of Fourier integral distributions

- Define n -form μ_ϕ on $Crit_\phi$ by requiring

$$\mu_\phi \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1}\right) \cdots \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_N}\right) = dx_1 \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge d\theta_1 \cdots \wedge d\theta_N$$

- If λ_i are local coord on $Crit_\phi$ then $\mu_\phi = fd\lambda_1 \cdots \wedge d\lambda_n$, with

$$f = \frac{dx_1 \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge d\theta_1 \cdots \wedge d\theta_N}{d\lambda_1 \cdots \wedge d\lambda_n \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1}\right) \cdots \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_n}\right)}$$

- To obtain an invariantly defined principal symbol, $\sigma_{prin}(u)$, if

$$a^0 := [a|_{Crit_\phi}] \in S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}} / S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}-1},$$

Def. The **principal symbol** $\sigma_{prin}(u)$ of $u(a, \phi)$ is the push-forward of the half-density $a^0 \sqrt{\mu_\phi}$ from $Crit_\phi$ to Λ . [Ignores **Maslov index**.]

Symbol calculus of Fourier integral distributions

- Define n -form μ_ϕ on $Crit_\phi$ by requiring

$$\mu_\phi \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1}\right) \cdots \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_N}\right) = dx_1 \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge d\theta_1 \cdots \wedge \theta_N$$

- If λ_i are local coord on $Crit_\phi$ then $\mu_\phi = fd\lambda_1 \cdots \wedge d\lambda_n$, with

$$f = \frac{dx_1 \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge d\theta_1 \cdots \wedge \theta_N}{d\lambda_1 \cdots \wedge d\lambda_n \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1}\right) \cdots \wedge d\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_n}\right)}$$

- To obtain an invariantly defined principal symbol, $\sigma_{prin}(u)$, if

$$a^0 := [a|_{Crit_\phi}] \in S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}} / S_{1,0}^{m-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{n}{4}-1},$$

Def. The **principal symbol** $\sigma_{prin}(u)$ of $u(a, \phi)$ is the push-forward of the half-density $a^0 \sqrt{\mu_\phi}$ from $Crit_\phi$ to Λ . [Ignores **Maslov index**.]

Canonical relations: Definition

Let X, Y be manifolds (not necessarily of the same dimension).

- On $T^*(X \times Y)$ the natural symplectic form is $\omega_X + \omega_Y$.

But on $T^*X \times T^*Y$ the 'natural' form (for MLA) is $\omega_X - \omega_Y$.

- **Def.** A **canonical relation** from $T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}$ to $T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$ is a conic Lagrangian

$$C \subset ((T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}), \omega_X - \omega_Y).$$

Thus, if $\Lambda \subset T^*(X \times Y)$ is a conic Lagrangian which does not intersect either zero section, then

$$C' := \{(x, \xi; y, -\eta) : (x, y; \xi, \eta) \in \Lambda\}$$

is a canonical relation from $T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}$ to $T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$, and v.v.

Canonical relations: Definition

Let X, Y be manifolds (not necessarily of the same dimension).

- On $T^*(X \times Y)$ the natural symplectic form is $\omega_X + \omega_Y$.

But on $T^*X \times T^*Y$ the 'natural' form (for MLA) is $\omega_X - \omega_Y$.

- **Def.** A **canonical relation** from $T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}$ to $T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$ is a conic Lagrangian

$$C \subset ((T^*X \setminus 0) \times (T^*Y \setminus 0), \omega_X - \omega_Y).$$

Thus, if $\Lambda \subset T^*(X \times Y)$ is a conic Lagrangian which does not intersect either zero section, then

$$C' := \{(x, \xi; y, -\eta) : (x, y; \xi, \eta) \in \Lambda\}$$

is a canonical relation from $T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}$ to $T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$, and v.v.

Canonical relations: Canonical graphs

- **Def.** If (M, ω_M) and (N, ω_N) are symplectic manifolds of the same dimension, then a C^∞ map $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ is a **canonical transformation** (or **symplectomorphism**) if $\Phi^*\omega_N = \omega_M$

Since ω_M nondeg, this $\implies \Phi$ is a local diffeomorphism.

- **Prop.** (i) The graph of a canonical transformation,

$$\{(m, \Phi(m)) : m \in M\} \subset (M \times N, \omega_M - \omega_N)$$

is a canonical relation from N to M , called a **canonical graph**.

(ii) $C \subset M \times N$ is a **local** can grph iff $\pi_M : C \rightarrow M$ is a loc diffeom iff $\pi_N : C \rightarrow N$ is a loc diffeom.

- **Ex.** A diffeom $\chi : X^n \rightarrow Y^n$ induces a map $\Phi : T^*X \rightarrow T^*Y$, linear in each fiber, which is a canonical transformation:

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = (\chi(x), ((D\chi)^{-1})^t(\xi))$$

Canonical relations: Canonical graphs

- **Def.** If (M, ω_M) and (N, ω_N) are symplectic manifolds of the same dimension, then a C^∞ map $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ is a **canonical transformation** (or **symplectomorphism**) if $\Phi^*\omega_N = \omega_M$

Since ω_M nondeg, this $\implies \Phi$ is a local diffeomorphism.

- **Prop.** (i) The graph of a canonical transformation,

$$\{(m, \Phi(m)) : m \in M\} \subset (M \times N, \omega_M - \omega_N)$$

is a canonical relation from N to M , called a **canonical graph**.

(ii) $C \subset M \times N$ is a **local** can grph iff $\pi_M : C \rightarrow M$ is a loc diffeom iff $\pi_N : C \rightarrow N$ is a loc diffeom.

- **Ex.** A diffeom $\chi : X^n \rightarrow Y^n$ induces a map $\Phi : T^*X \rightarrow T^*Y$, linear in each fiber, which is a canonical transformation:

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = (\chi(x), ((D\chi)^{-1})^t(\xi))$$

Canonical relations: Canonical graphs

- **Def.** If (M, ω_M) and (N, ω_N) are symplectic manifolds of the same dimension, then a C^∞ map $\Phi : M \rightarrow N$ is a **canonical transformation** (or **symplectomorphism**) if $\Phi^*\omega_N = \omega_M$

Since ω_M nondeg, this $\implies \Phi$ is a local diffeomorphism.

- **Prop.** (i) The graph of a canonical transformation,

$$\{(m, \Phi(m)) : m \in M\} \subset (M \times N, \omega_M - \omega_N)$$

is a canonical relation from N to M , called a **canonical graph**.

(ii) $C \subset M \times N$ is a **local** can grph iff $\pi_M : C \rightarrow M$ is a loc diffeom iff $\pi_N : C \rightarrow N$ is a loc diffeom.

- **Ex.** A diffeom $\chi : X^n \rightarrow Y^n$ induces a map $\Phi : T^*X \rightarrow T^*Y$, linear in each fiber, which is a canonical transformation:

$$\Phi(x, \xi) = (\chi(x), ((D\chi)^{-1})^t(\xi))$$

Fourier integral operators: Definition

- Let

$$C \subset ((T^*X \setminus 0) \times (T^*Y \setminus 0), \omega_X - \omega_Y)$$

be a canonical relation, so that

$$\Lambda = C' := \{(x, y; \xi, -\eta) : (x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C\} \subset T^*(X \times Y) \setminus \mathbf{0}$$

is a conic Lagrangian. Also write $C = \Lambda'$.

- **Def.** The **Fourier integral operators** (FIO) associated with C and of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$, denoted $I^m(X, Y; C) = I^m(C)$, are those maps $\mathcal{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$ whose Schwartz kernels are in $I^m(X \times Y; C')$.
- Thus, $T \in I^m(X, Y; C)$ is given weakly by a locally finite sum of

$$Tf(x) = \int_{Y \times \mathbb{R}^{N_\phi}} e^{i\phi(x, y, \theta)} a(x, y, \theta) f(y) d\theta dy,$$

with $\Lambda_\phi \subseteq C'$ and $a \in S_{1,0}^{m + \frac{\dim X + \dim Y}{4} - \frac{N_\phi}{2}}(X \times Y \times \mathbb{R}^{N_\phi})$.

Fourier integral operators: Definition

- Let

$$C \subset ((T^*X \setminus 0) \times (T^*Y \setminus 0), \omega_X - \omega_Y)$$

be a canonical relation, so that

$$\Lambda = C' := \{(x, y; \xi, -\eta) : (x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C\} \subset T^*(X \times Y) \setminus \mathbf{0}$$

is a conic Lagrangian. Also write $C = \Lambda'$.

- Def.** The **Fourier integral operators** (FIO) associated with C and of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$, denoted $I^m(X, Y; C) = I^m(C)$, are those maps $\mathcal{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$ whose Schwartz kernels are in $I^m(X \times Y; C')$.
- Thus, $T \in I^m(X, Y; C)$ is given weakly by a locally finite sum of

$$Tf(x) = \int_{Y \times \mathbb{R}^{N_\phi}} e^{i\phi(x, y, \theta)} a(x, y, \theta) f(y) d\theta dy,$$

with $\Lambda_\phi \subseteq C'$ and $a \in S_{1,0}^{m + \frac{\dim X + \dim Y}{4} - \frac{N_\phi}{2}}(X \times Y \times \mathbb{R}^{N_\phi})$.

Fourier integral operators: Definition

- Let

$$C \subset ((T^*X \setminus 0) \times (T^*Y \setminus 0), \omega_X - \omega_Y)$$

be a canonical relation, so that

$$\Lambda = C' := \{(x, y; \xi, -\eta) : (x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C\} \subset T^*(X \times Y) \setminus 0$$

is a conic Lagrangian. Also write $C = \Lambda'$.

- Def.** The **Fourier integral operators** (FIO) associated with C and of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$, denoted $I^m(X, Y; C) = I^m(C)$, are those maps $\mathcal{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$ whose Schwartz kernels are in $I^m(X \times Y; C')$.
- Thus, $T \in I^m(X, Y; C)$ is given weakly by a locally finite sum of

$$Tf(x) = \int_{Y \times \mathbb{R}^{N_\phi}} e^{i\phi(x, y, \theta)} a(x, y, \theta) f(y) d\theta dy,$$

with $\Lambda_\phi \subseteq C'$ and $a \in S_{1,0}^{m + \frac{\dim X + \dim Y}{4} - \frac{N_\phi}{2}}(X \times Y \times \mathbb{R}^{N_\phi})$.

Fourier integral operators: Examples

- **Ψ DOs:** $T_1 \in \Psi^m(X)$ has form (w.r.t. loc coords)

$$T_1 f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta} a(x, y; \theta) f(y) d\theta dy, \quad a \in S_{1,0}^m,$$

and saw that

$$\phi \rightsquigarrow \Lambda_\phi = \{(x, x; \xi, -\xi) : (x, \xi) \in T^*X\} = N^*\Delta_X.$$

- Taking $Y = X$, $\Psi^m(X) = I^{m+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{2n}{4}}(X, X; C) = I^m(C)$, where

$$C = (N^*\Delta_X)' = \Delta_{T^*X} = \text{graph}(I_{T^*X}).$$

- So: pseudodifferential operators are **special cases** of FIOs, associated with the **diagonal** relation, which is a **canonical graph**.

Fourier integral operators: Examples

- **Ψ DOs:** $T_1 \in \Psi^m(X)$ has form (w.r.t. loc coords)

$$T_1 f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta} a(x, y; \theta) f(y) d\theta dy, \quad a \in S_{1,0}^m,$$

and saw that

$$\phi \rightsquigarrow \Lambda_\phi = \{(x, x; \xi, -\xi) : (x, \xi) \in T^*X\} = N^*\Delta_X.$$

- Taking $Y = X$, $\Psi^m(X) = I^{m+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{2n}{4}}(X, X; C) = I^m(C)$, where

$$C = (N^*\Delta_X)' = \Delta_{T^*X} = \text{graph}(I_{T^*X}).$$

- So: pseudodifferential operators are **special cases** of FIOs, associated with the **diagonal** relation, which is a **canonical graph**.

Fourier integral operators: Examples

- **Ψ DOs:** $T_1 \in \Psi^m(X)$ has form (w.r.t. loc coords)

$$T_1 f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\theta} a(x, y; \theta) f(y) d\theta dy, \quad a \in S_{1,0}^m,$$

and saw that

$$\phi \rightsquigarrow \Lambda_\phi = \{(x, x; \xi, -\xi) : (x, \xi) \in T^*X\} = N^*\Delta_X.$$

- Taking $Y = X$, $\Psi^m(X) = I^{m+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{2n}{4}}(X, X; C) = I^m(C)$, where

$$C = (N^*\Delta_X)' = \Delta_{T^*X} = \text{graph}(I_{T^*X}).$$

- So: pseudodifferential operators are **special cases** of FIOs, associated with the **diagonal** relation, which is a **canonical graph**.

Fourier integral operators: Examples

Radon transform: For $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$T_3 f(\omega, s) = \int_{\{y \cdot \omega = s\}} f(y) d\sigma(y), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- K_{T_3} is conormal for the hypersurface $Y = \{y \cdot \omega - s = 0\}$:

$$K_{T_3}(\omega, s, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(y \cdot \omega - s)\theta} \mathbf{1}(\theta) d\theta,$$

with conormal bundle $\Lambda_3 = N^*Y \subset T^*(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \mathbf{0}$,

$$\Lambda_3 = \left\{ (\omega, y \cdot \omega, y; \theta i_\omega^*(y), -\theta, \theta\omega) : \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

$\implies T_3 \in I^{0+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2n}{4}} = I^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n; C_3)$ with loc can grph



$$C_3 = \Lambda'_3 = \left\{ (\omega, y \cdot \omega, -\theta i_\omega^*(y), \theta; y, \theta\omega) : \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

FIO calculus: Adjoints

Suppose $A \in I^m(X, Y; C)$. What about (formal) A^* ? If

$$K_A(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{i\phi(x, y, \theta)} a(x, y, \theta) d\theta, \quad a \in S^{m - \frac{N}{2} + \frac{n_X + n_Y}{4}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{A^*}(y, x) &= \overline{K_A(x, y)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-i\phi(x, y, \theta)} \bar{a}(x, y, \theta) d\theta, \quad \bar{a} \in S^{m - \frac{N}{2} + \frac{n_X + n_Y}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

$\implies A^* \in I^m(Y, X; C^t)$, where C^t is the **transpose** relation,

$$C^t = \{(y, \eta; x, \xi) : (x, \xi; y, \eta) \in C\} \subset T^*Y \times T^*X.$$

FIO calculus: Composition

Suppose $A_1 \in I^{m_1}(X, Y; C_1)$, $A_2 \in I^{m_2}(Y, Z; C_2)$ are properly supported.

- **Q.** Is $A_1 A_2$ an FIO? **No** in general, but **yes** if we impose some geometric conditions.
- Note

$$\begin{aligned} WF_{A_1 A_2} &\subseteq WF_{A_1} \circ WF_{A_2} = WF(K_{A_1})' \circ WF(K_{A_2})' \\ &\subseteq C_1 \circ C_2 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Z \setminus \mathbf{0}) \end{aligned}$$

Basic examples show $C_1 \circ C_2$ need not be a smooth canonical relation. However, under a **transversality** or **clean intersection** condition, it is: *The analysis follows the geometry.*

FIO calculus: Composition

Suppose $A_1 \in I^{m_1}(X, Y; C_1)$, $A_2 \in I^{m_2}(Y, Z; C_2)$ are properly supported.

- **Q.** Is $A_1 A_2$ an FIO? **No** in general, but **yes** if we impose some geometric conditions.
- Note

$$\begin{aligned} WF_{A_1 A_2} &\subseteq WF_{A_1} \circ WF_{A_2} = WF(K_{A_1})' \circ WF(K_{A_2})' \\ &\subseteq C_1 \circ C_2 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Z \setminus \mathbf{0}) \end{aligned}$$

Basic examples show $C_1 \circ C_2$ need not be a smooth canonical relation. However, under a **transversality** or **clean intersection** condition, it is: *The analysis follows the geometry.*

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection

- **Def.** $S_1, S_2 \subset M$ intersect **transversally** if $T_m S_1 + T_m S_2 = T_m M$ for all $m \in S_1 \cap S_2$. (Holds $\iff N_m^* S_1 \cap N_m^* S_2 = (0)$.)
Write $S_1 \bar{\cap} S_2$.

- **Prop.** If $S_1 \bar{\cap} S_2$, then

(i) $S_3 := S_1 \cap S_2$ is smooth;

(ii) $\text{codim}(S_3) = \text{codim}(S_1) + \text{codim}(S_2)$; and

(iii) $TS_3 = TS_1 \cap TS_2$ at all points.

- **Ex.** In \mathbb{R}^3 : $\{z = 0\} \bar{\cap} \{z = x\}$, but $\{z = 0\} \not\bar{\cap} \{z = xy\}$.

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection

- **Def.** $S_1, S_2 \subset M$ intersect **transversally** if $T_m S_1 + T_m S_2 = T_m M$ for all $m \in S_1 \cap S_2$. (Holds $\iff N_m^* S_1 \cap N_m^* S_2 = (0)$.)
Write $S_1 \bar{\cap} S_2$.

- **Prop.** If $S_1 \bar{\cap} S_2$, then

(i) $S_3 := S_1 \cap S_2$ is smooth;

(ii) $\text{codim}(S_3) = \text{codim}(S_1) + \text{codim}(S_2)$; and

(iii) $TS_3 = TS_1 \cap TS_2$ at all points.

- **Ex.** In \mathbb{R}^3 : $\{z = 0\} \bar{\cap} \{z = x\}$, but $\{z = 0\} \not\bar{\cap} \{z = xy\}$.

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection

- **Def.** $S_1, S_2 \subset M$ intersect **transversally** if $T_m S_1 + T_m S_2 = T_m M$ for all $m \in S_1 \cap S_2$. (Holds $\iff N_m^* S_1 \cap N_m^* S_2 = (0)$.)
Write $S_1 \bar{\cap} S_2$.
- **Prop.** If $S_1 \bar{\cap} S_2$, then
 - (i) $S_3 := S_1 \cap S_2$ is smooth;
 - (ii) $\text{codim}(S_3) = \text{codim}(S_1) + \text{codim}(S_2)$; and
 - (iii) $TS_3 = TS_1 \cap TS_2$ at all points.
- **Ex.** In \mathbb{R}^3 : $\{z = 0\} \bar{\cap} \{z = x\}$, but $\{z = 0\} \not\bar{\cap} \{z = xy\}$.

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection

- For $C_1 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$ and $C_2 \subset (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Z \setminus \mathbf{0})$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \circ C_2 &= \{(x, \xi; z, \zeta) : \exists(y, \eta) \text{ s.t. } (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_1, (y, \eta, z, \zeta) \in C_2\} \\ &= (\pi_1 \times \pi_4)((C_1 \times C_2) \cap (T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z)) \end{aligned}$$

- To have a chance of $A_1 A_2$ being an FIO associated with a smooth canonical relation, need that the intersection set be **smooth**.
- One way to get this is to demand that the intersection be **transverse**.

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection

- For $C_1 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$ and $C_2 \subset (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Z \setminus \mathbf{0})$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \circ C_2 &= \{(x, \xi; z, \zeta) : \exists (y, \eta) \text{ s.t. } (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_1, (y, \eta, z, \zeta) \in C_2\} \\ &= (\pi_1 \times \pi_4)((C_1 \times C_2) \cap (T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z)) \end{aligned}$$

- To have a chance of $A_1 A_2$ being an FIO associated with a smooth canonical relation, need that the intersection set be **smooth**.
- One way to get this is to demand that the intersection be **transverse**.

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection

- For $C_1 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$ and $C_2 \subset (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Z \setminus \mathbf{0})$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \circ C_2 &= \{(x, \xi; z, \zeta) : \exists(y, \eta) \text{ s.t. } (x, \xi, y, \eta) \in C_1, (y, \eta, z, \zeta) \in C_2\} \\ &= (\pi_1 \times \pi_4)((C_1 \times C_2) \cap (T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z)) \end{aligned}$$

- To have a chance of $A_1 A_2$ being an FIO associated with a smooth canonical relation, need that the intersection set be **smooth**.
- One way to get this is to demand that the intersection be **transverse**.

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection calculus

- **Thm. (Hörmander)** If $A_1 \in I^{m_1}(X, Y; C_1)$ and $A_2 \in I^{m_2}(Y, Z; C_2)$ are properly supported, and $(C_1 \times C_2) \bar{\cap} (T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z)$, then $C_1 \circ C_2$ is a **smooth canonical relation** and

$$A_1 A_2 \in I^{m_1+m_2}(X, Z; C_1 \circ C_2)$$

- If either C_1 or C_2 is a local **canonical graph**, then $A_1 A_2$ is covered by the $\bar{\cap}$ calculus. In particular, any $I^m(X, Y; C)$ is closed under composition on the right with $\Psi^0(Y)$ and on the left with $\Psi^0(X)$.
- If C is a canonical graph and $A \in I^m(X, Y; C)$ is properly supported, then $A^* A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$, and A elliptic at $(x_0, \xi_0, y_0, \eta_0) \implies A^* A$ elliptic at (y_0, η_0) .
- If C is only a **local can grph**, then $A^* A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y) +$ a sum of other FIOs. (See exercise #3.)

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection calculus

- **Thm. (Hörmander)** If $A_1 \in I^{m_1}(X, Y; C_1)$ and $A_2 \in I^{m_2}(Y, Z; C_2)$ are properly supported, and $(C_1 \times C_2) \overline{\cap}$ $(T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z)$, then $C_1 \circ C_2$ is a **smooth canonical relation** and

$$A_1 A_2 \in I^{m_1+m_2}(X, Z; C_1 \circ C_2)$$

- If either C_1 or C_2 is a local **canonical graph**, then $A_1 A_2$ is covered by the $\overline{\cap}$ calculus. In particular, any $I^m(X, Y; C)$ is closed under composition on the right with $\Psi^0(Y)$ and on the left with $\Psi^0(X)$.
- If C is a canonical graph and $A \in I^m(X, Y; C)$ is properly supported, then $A^* A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$, and A elliptic at $(x_0, \xi_0, y_0, \eta_0) \implies A^* A$ elliptic at (y_0, η_0) .
- If C is only a **local can grph**, then $A^* A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y) +$ a sum of other FIOs. (See exercise #3.)

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection calculus

- **Thm. (Hörmander)** If $A_1 \in I^{m_1}(X, Y; C_1)$ and $A_2 \in I^{m_2}(Y, Z; C_2)$ are properly supported, and $(C_1 \times C_2) \bar{\cap} (T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z)$, then $C_1 \circ C_2$ is a **smooth canonical relation** and

$$A_1 A_2 \in I^{m_1+m_2}(X, Z; C_1 \circ C_2)$$

- If either C_1 or C_2 is a local **canonical graph**, then $A_1 A_2$ is covered by the $\bar{\cap}$ calculus. In particular, any $I^m(X, Y; C)$ is closed under composition on the right with $\Psi^0(Y)$ and on the left with $\Psi^0(X)$.
- If C is a canonical graph and $A \in I^m(X, Y; C)$ is properly supported, then $A^* A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$, and A elliptic at $(x_0, \xi_0, y_0, \eta_0) \implies A^* A$ elliptic at (y_0, η_0) .
- If C is only a **local can grph**, then $A^* A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y) +$ a sum of other FIOs. (See exercise #3.)

FIO calculus: Transverse intersection calculus

- **Thm. (Hörmander)** If $A_1 \in I^{m_1}(X, Y; C_1)$ and $A_2 \in I^{m_2}(Y, Z; C_2)$ are properly supported, and $(C_1 \times C_2) \bar{\cap} (T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z)$, then $C_1 \circ C_2$ is a **smooth canonical relation** and

$$A_1 A_2 \in I^{m_1+m_2}(X, Z; C_1 \circ C_2)$$

- If either C_1 or C_2 is a local **canonical graph**, then $A_1 A_2$ is covered by the $\bar{\cap}$ calculus. In particular, any $I^m(X, Y; C)$ is closed under composition on the right with $\Psi^0(Y)$ and on the left with $\Psi^0(X)$.
- If C is a canonical graph and $A \in I^m(X, Y; C)$ is properly supported, then $A^* A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$, and A elliptic at $(x_0, \xi_0, y_0, \eta_0) \implies A^* A$ elliptic at (y_0, η_0) .
- If C is only a **local can grph**, then $A^* A \in \Psi^{2m}(Y) +$ a sum of other FIOs. (See exercise #3.)

FIO calculus: Clean intersection calculus

- **Def.** $S_1, S_2 \subset M$ intersect **cleanly** if (i) $S_3 := S_1 \cap S_2$ is smooth; and (ii) $TS_3 = TS_1 \cap TS_2$ at all points. The **excess** of the intersection is $e := \text{codim}(S_1) + \text{codim}(S_2) - \text{codim}(S_3) \geq 0$.

Ex. $S_1 = x$ -axis and $S_2 = y$ -axis in \mathbb{R}^3 , with excess $e = 2 + 2 - 3 = 1$.

Ex. $S_1 = x$ -axis and $S_2 = \{y = x^2\}$ do not intersect cleanly in \mathbb{R}^2 .

- **Thm. (Duistermaat-Guillemin; Weinstein)** If $C_1 \times C_2$ intersects $T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z$ cleanly with excess e , then

$$C_1 \circ C_2 \subset T^*X \times T^*Z$$

is a smooth canonical relation and

$$A_1 A_2 \in I^{m_1 + m_2 + \frac{e}{2}}(X, Z; C_1 \circ C_2).$$

FIO calculus: Clean intersection calculus

- **Def.** $S_1, S_2 \subset M$ intersect **cleanly** if (i) $S_3 := S_1 \cap S_2$ is smooth; and (ii) $TS_3 = TS_1 \cap TS_2$ at all points. The **excess** of the intersection is $e := \text{codim}(S_1) + \text{codim}(S_2) - \text{codim}(S_3) \geq 0$.

Ex. $S_1 = x$ -axis and $S_2 = y$ -axis in \mathbb{R}^3 , with excess $e = 2 + 2 - 3 = 1$.

Ex. $S_1 = x$ -axis and $S_2 = \{y = x^2\}$ do not intersect cleanly in \mathbb{R}^2 .

- **Thm. (Duistermaat-Guillemin; Weinstein)** If $C_1 \times C_2$ intersects $T^*X \times \Delta_{T^*Y} \times T^*Z$ cleanly with excess e , then

$$C_1 \circ C_2 \subset T^*X \times T^*Z$$

is a smooth canonical relation and

$$A_1 A_2 \in I^{m_1 + m_2 + \frac{e}{2}}(X, Z; C_1 \circ C_2).$$

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

Ex. Let $\Sigma \subset T^*X^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a conic hypersurface.

- Σ is automatically **co-isotropic**: $(T\Sigma)^\omega \subset T\Sigma$ at all pts.
- Microlocally, can write $\Sigma = \{p(x, \xi) = 0\}$, $p \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty$, homog of deg 1.
- $(T\Sigma)^\omega = \mathbb{R} \cdot H_p$, where H_p is the **Hamiltonian vector field** of p ,

$$H_p(x, \xi) = (dp(x, \xi))^\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$$

- But $H_p \in T\Sigma$, since $\langle dp, H_p \rangle = \omega(H_p, H_p) = 0$ by skew-symmetry.
- Thus, Σ is foliated by the integral curves of H_p , called the **bicharacteristic curves** of Σ , which are **nonradial** if $H_p \nparallel \xi \cdot \partial_\xi$. The curve passing through $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma$ is denoted $\Xi_{x, \xi}$.

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

Ex. Let $\Sigma \subset T^*X^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a conic hypersurface.

- Σ is automatically **co-isotropic**: $(T\Sigma)^\omega \subset T\Sigma$ at all pts.
- Microlocally, can write $\Sigma = \{p(x, \xi) = 0\}$, $p \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty$, homog of deg 1.
- $(T\Sigma)^\omega = \mathbb{R} \cdot H_p$, where H_p is the **Hamiltonian vector field** of p ,

$$H_p(x, \xi) = (dp(x, \xi))^\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$$

- But $H_p \in T\Sigma$, since $\langle dp, H_p \rangle = \omega(H_p, H_p) = 0$ by skew-symmetry.
- Thus, Σ is foliated by the integral curves of H_p , called the **bicharacteristic curves** of Σ , which are **nonradial** if $H_p \nparallel \xi \cdot \partial_\xi$. The curve passing through $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma$ is denoted $\Xi_{x, \xi}$.

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

Ex. Let $\Sigma \subset T^*X^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a conic hypersurface.

- Σ is automatically **co-isotropic**: $(T\Sigma)^\omega \subset T\Sigma$ at all pts.
- Microlocally, can write $\Sigma = \{p(x, \xi) = 0\}$, $p \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty$, homog of deg 1.
- $(T\Sigma)^\omega = \mathbb{R} \cdot H_p$, where H_p is the **Hamiltonian vector field** of p ,

$$H_p(x, \xi) = (dp(x, \xi))^\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$$

- But $H_p \in T\Sigma$, since $\langle dp, H_p \rangle = \omega(H_p, H_p) = 0$ by skew-symmetry.
- Thus, Σ is foliated by the integral curves of H_p , called the **bicharacteristic curves** of Σ , which are **nonradial** if $H_p \nparallel \xi \cdot \partial_\xi$. The curve passing through $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma$ is denoted $\Xi_{x, \xi}$.

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

Ex. Let $\Sigma \subset T^*X^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a conic hypersurface.

- Σ is automatically **co-isotropic**: $(T\Sigma)^\omega \subset T\Sigma$ at all pts.
- Microlocally, can write $\Sigma = \{p(x, \xi) = 0\}$, $p \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty$, homog of deg 1.
- $(T\Sigma)^\omega = \mathbb{R} \cdot H_p$, where H_p is the **Hamiltonian vector field** of p ,

$$H_p(x, \xi) = (dp(x, \xi))^\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$$

- But $H_p \in T\Sigma$, since $\langle dp, H_p \rangle = \omega(H_p, H_p) = 0$ by skew-symmetry.
- Thus, Σ is foliated by the integral curves of H_p , called the **bicharacteristic curves** of Σ , which are **nonradial** if $H_p \nparallel \xi \cdot \partial_\xi$. The curve passing through $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma$ is denoted $\Xi_{x, \xi}$.

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

Ex. Let $\Sigma \subset T^*X^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a conic hypersurface.

- Σ is automatically **co-isotropic**: $(T\Sigma)^\omega \subset T\Sigma$ at all pts.
- Microlocally, can write $\Sigma = \{p(x, \xi) = 0\}$, $p \in C_{\mathbb{R}}^\infty$, homog of deg 1.
- $(T\Sigma)^\omega = \mathbb{R} \cdot H_p$, where H_p is the **Hamiltonian vector field** of p ,

$$H_p(x, \xi) = (dp(x, \xi))^\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$$

- But $H_p \in T\Sigma$, since $\langle dp, H_p \rangle = \omega(H_p, H_p) = 0$ by skew-symmetry.
- Thus, Σ is foliated by the integral curves of H_p , called the **bicharacteristic curves** of Σ , which are **nonradial** if $H_p \nparallel \xi \cdot \partial_\xi$. The curve passing through $(x, \xi) \in \Sigma$ is denoted $\Xi_{x, \xi}$.

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

- **Def.** The **flowout relation** of Σ ,

$$C_\Sigma = \{(x, \xi, y, \eta) : (x, \xi) \in \Sigma, (y, \eta) \in \Xi_{x, \xi}\} \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0})$$

is a smooth, conic canonical relation, with $C^t = C$.

- Note: C_Σ is **not** a canonical graph: $D\pi_L, D\pi_R$ drop rank by 1 everywhere.
- $C_\Sigma \circ C_\Sigma$ covered by the clean intersection calc, with excess $e = 1$:

$$I^{m_1}(C) \circ I^{m_2}(C) \subseteq I^{m_1+m_2+\frac{1}{2}}(C)$$

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

- **Def.** The **flowout relation** of Σ ,

$$C_\Sigma = \{(x, \xi, y, \eta) : (x, \xi) \in \Sigma, (y, \eta) \in \Xi_{x, \xi}\} \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0})$$

is a smooth, conic canonical relation, with $C^t = C$.

- Note: C_Σ is **not** a canonical graph: $D\pi_L, D\pi_R$ drop rank by 1 **everywhere**.
- $C_\Sigma \circ C_\Sigma$ covered by the clean intersection calc, with excess $e = 1$:

$$I^{m_1}(C) \circ I^{m_2}(C) \subseteq I^{m_1+m_2+\frac{1}{2}}(C)$$

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

- **Def.** The **flowout relation** of Σ ,

$$C_\Sigma = \{(x, \xi, y, \eta) : (x, \xi) \in \Sigma, (y, \eta) \in \Xi_{x, \xi}\} \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0})$$

is a smooth, conic canonical relation, with $C^t = C$.

- Note: C_Σ is **not** a canonical graph: $D\pi_L, D\pi_R$ drop rank by 1 **everywhere**.
- $C_\Sigma \circ C_\Sigma$ covered by the clean intersection calc, with excess $e = 1$:

$$I^{m_1}(C) \circ I^{m_2}(C) \subseteq I^{m_1+m_2+\frac{1}{2}}(C)$$

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

- Flowout relations C_Σ describe the propagation of singularities of solutions to $Pu = f$, for some $P(x, D) \in \Psi_{cl}^m(X)$.
- **Def.** $P(x, D) \in \Psi_{cl}$ is of **real principal type** if $p(x, \xi) := \sigma_{prin}(P)$ is \mathbb{R} -valued, $d_{x, \xi} p \neq (0, 0)$ at $\Sigma = p^{-1}(0)$, and no bicharacteristic $\Xi_{x, \xi}$ of p is trapped over a compact set $K \subset\subset X$.
(In particular, there are no radial points.)
- **Thm. (Duistermaat-Hörmander)** If $P(x, D)$ is RPT and $Pu = f$, then $WF(u) \setminus WF(f)$ is a union of maximally extended $\Xi_{x, \xi}$.
Furthermore, there exists a two-sided parametrix Q , $QP = I - R_1$ and $PQ = I - R_2$ with $R_1, R_2 \in \Psi^{-\infty}(X)$, with $Q \in I^{\frac{1}{2}-m}(C_\Sigma)$ away from Δ_{T^*X} .

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

- Flowout relations C_Σ describe the propagation of singularities of solutions to $Pu = f$, for some $P(x, D) \in \Psi_{cl}^m(X)$.
- **Def.** $P(x, D) \in \Psi_{cl}$ is of **real principal type** if $p(x, \xi) := \sigma_{prin}(P)$ is \mathbb{R} -valued, $d_{x, \xi} p \neq (0, 0)$ at $\Sigma = p^{-1}(0)$, and no bicharacteristic $\Xi_{x, \xi}$ of p is trapped over a compact set $K \subset\subset X$.
(In particular, there are no radial points.)
- **Thm. (Duistermaat-Hörmander)** If $P(x, D)$ is RPT and $Pu = f$, then $WF(u) \setminus WF(f)$ is a union of maximally extended $\Xi_{x, \xi}$.
Furthermore, there exists a two-sided parametrix Q , $QP = I - R_1$ and $PQ = I - R_2$ with $R_1, R_2 \in \Psi^{-\infty}(X)$, with $Q \in I^{\frac{1}{2}-m}(C_\Sigma)$ away from Δ_{T^*X} .

Clean intersection calculus: flowouts

- Flowout relations C_Σ describe the propagation of singularities of solutions to $Pu = f$, for some $P(x, D) \in \Psi_{cl}^m(X)$.
- **Def.** $P(x, D) \in \Psi_{cl}$ is of **real principal type** if $p(x, \xi) := \sigma_{prin}(P)$ is \mathbb{R} -valued, $d_{x, \xi} p \neq (0, 0)$ at $\Sigma = p^{-1}(0)$, and no bicharacteristic $\Xi_{x, \xi}$ of p is trapped over a compact set $K \subset\subset X$.
(In particular, there are no radial points.)
- **Thm. (Duistermaat-Hörmander)** If $P(x, D)$ is RPT and $Pu = f$, then $WF(u) \setminus WF(f)$ is a union of maximally extended $\Xi_{x, \xi}$.
Furthermore, there exists a two-sided parametrix Q , $QP = I - R_1$ and $PQ = I - R_2$ with $R_1, R_2 \in \Psi^{-\infty}(X)$, with $Q \in I^{\frac{1}{2}-m}(C_\Sigma)$ away from Δ_{T^*X} .

Applications: Egorov's Theorem

- Let $\Phi : T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0} \rightarrow T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a canonical transformation defined on a conic nhood of (y_0, η_0) . Then $C := \text{graph}(\Phi)$ is a canonical graph, and can assume that it is generated by a gen func $S(x, \eta)$.
- Let $F \in I^0(C; X, Y)$ be an elliptic FIO (which we can assume is a **canonical operator** $\leftrightarrow S$), and $G \in I^0(C^t; Y, X)$ a parametrix (microlocal inverse mod C^∞), with $C^t = \text{graph}(\Phi^{-1})$:

$$GF \equiv I \text{ and } FG \equiv I \pmod{C^\infty}.$$

- **Thm. (Egorov)** If $P(x, D) \in \Psi^m(X)$, then $FPG \in \Psi^m(Y)$, with

$$\sigma_{\text{prin}}(FPG)(y, \eta) = \sigma_{\text{prin}}(P)(\Phi(y, \eta))$$

- \implies Large literature on reducing Ψ DO to normal forms, proving propagation of singularities or local solvability.

Applications: Egorov's Theorem

- Let $\Phi : T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0} \rightarrow T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a canonical transformation defined on a conic neighborhood of (y_0, η_0) . Then $C := \text{graph}(\Phi)$ is a canonical graph, and can assume that it is generated by a gen func $S(x, \eta)$.
- Let $F \in I^0(C; X, Y)$ be an elliptic FIO (which we can assume is a **canonical operator** $\leftrightarrow S$), and $G \in I^0(C^t; Y, X)$ a parametrix (microlocal inverse mod C^∞), with $C^t = \text{graph}(\Phi^{-1})$:

$$GF \equiv I \text{ and } FG \equiv I \pmod{C^\infty}.$$

- **Thm. (Egorov)** If $P(x, D) \in \Psi^m(X)$, then $FPG \in \Psi^m(Y)$, with

$$\sigma_{\text{prin}}(FPG)(y, \eta) = \sigma_{\text{prin}}(P)(\Phi(y, \eta))$$

- \implies Large literature on reducing Ψ DO to normal forms, proving propagation of singularities or local solvability.

Applications: Egorov's Theorem

- Let $\Phi : T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0} \rightarrow T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a canonical transformation defined on a conic neighborhood of (y_0, η_0) . Then $C := \text{graph}(\Phi)$ is a canonical graph, and can assume that it is generated by a gen func $S(x, \eta)$.
- Let $F \in I^0(C; X, Y)$ be an elliptic FIO (which we can assume is a **canonical operator** $\leftrightarrow S$), and $G \in I^0(C^t; Y, X)$ a parametrix (microlocal inverse mod C^∞), with $C^t = \text{graph}(\Phi^{-1})$:

$$GF \equiv I \text{ and } FG \equiv I \pmod{C^\infty}.$$

- **Thm. (Egorov)** If $P(x, D) \in \Psi^m(X)$, then $FPG \in \Psi^m(Y)$, with

$$\sigma_{\text{prin}}(FPG)(y, \eta) = \sigma_{\text{prin}}(P)(\Phi(y, \eta))$$

- \implies Large literature on reducing Ψ DO to normal forms, proving propagation of singularities or local solvability.

Applications: Egorov's Theorem

- Let $\Phi : T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0} \rightarrow T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a canonical transformation defined on a conic neighborhood of (y_0, η_0) . Then $C := \text{graph}(\Phi)$ is a canonical graph, and can assume that it is generated by a gen func $S(x, \eta)$.
- Let $F \in I^0(C; X, Y)$ be an elliptic FIO (which we can assume is a **canonical operator** $\leftrightarrow S$), and $G \in I^0(C^t; Y, X)$ a parametrix (microlocal inverse mod C^∞), with $C^t = \text{graph}(\Phi^{-1})$:

$$GF \equiv I \text{ and } FG \equiv I \pmod{C^\infty}.$$

- **Thm. (Egorov)** If $P(x, D) \in \Psi^m(X)$, then $FPG \in \Psi^m(Y)$, with

$$\sigma_{\text{prin}}(FPG)(y, \eta) = \sigma_{\text{prin}}(P)(\Phi(y, \eta))$$

- \implies Large literature on reducing Ψ DO to normal forms, proving propagation of singularities or local solvability.



Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

Suppose $Z \subset X^{n_X} \times Y^{n_Y}$, $\text{codim } k$. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ \pi_X \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_Y \\ X & & Y \end{array}$$

- **Def.** Z is a **double fibration** if $\pi_X : Z \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : Z \rightarrow Y$ are submersions. Then, $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$,

$$Y_x := \pi_Y \pi_X^{-1}(\{x\}) \subset Y \text{ and } X^y := \pi_X \pi_Y^{-1}(\{y\}) \subset X \text{ are codim } k$$

- Choice of smooth densities on X, Y, Z induces pair of **generalized Radon transforms**, $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{E}'(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^t : \mathcal{E}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(Y)$,

$$\mathcal{R}f(x) = \int_{Y_x} f(y) dy \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^t g(y) = \int_{X^y} f(x) dx.$$

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

Suppose $Z \subset X^{n_X} \times Y^{n_Y}$, $\text{codim } k$. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z & \\ \pi_X \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_Y \\ X & & Y \end{array}$$

- **Def.** Z is a **double fibration** if $\pi_X : Z \rightarrow X$ and $\pi_Y : Z \rightarrow Y$ are submersions. Then, $\forall x \in X, y \in Y$,

$$Y_x := \pi_Y \pi_X^{-1}(\{x\}) \subset Y \text{ and } X^y := \pi_X \pi_Y^{-1}(\{y\}) \subset X \text{ are codim } k$$

- Choice of smooth densities on X, Y, Z induces pair of **generalized Radon transforms**, $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{E}'(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^t : \mathcal{E}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(Y)$,

$$\mathcal{R}f(x) = \int_{Y_x} f(y) dy \text{ and } \mathcal{R}^t g(y) = \int_{X^y} f(x) dx.$$

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

Z is the **incidence relation** of a **generalized Radon transform**, \mathcal{R} .

- **Guillemin-Sternberg:** Schwartz kernel of $\mathcal{R} = \delta_Z$, which is a conormal, hence Fourier integral distribution: Locally describe Z as

$$Z = \{(x, y) : \Phi_1(x, y) = \cdots = \Phi_k(x, y) = 0\}.$$

- Writing δ_Z as shorthand for a smooth multiple of $\delta_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\Phi)$,

$$\delta_Z(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j \Phi_j(x, y)} a(x, y) d\theta, \quad a \in S_{1,0}^0(X \times Y \times \mathbb{R}^k)$$

\implies

$$\mathcal{R} \in I^{0+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{n_X+n_Y}{4}}(X, Y; C),$$

where

$$C = N^*Z' \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$$

and $\mathcal{R}^t \in I^{\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{4}}(Y, X; C^t)$.

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

Z is the **incidence relation** of a **generalized Radon transform**, \mathcal{R} .

- **Guillemin-Sternberg:** Schwartz kernel of $\mathcal{R} = \delta_Z$, which is a conormal, hence Fourier integral distribution: Locally describe Z as

$$Z = \{(x, y) : \Phi_1(x, y) = \cdots = \Phi_k(x, y) = 0\}.$$

- Writing δ_Z as shorthand for a smooth multiple of $\delta_{\mathbb{R}^k}(\Phi)$,

$$\delta_Z(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} e^{i \sum_{j=1}^k \theta_j \Phi_j(x, y)} a(x, y) d\theta, \quad a \in S_{1,0}^0(X \times Y \times \mathbb{R}^k)$$

\implies

$$\mathcal{R} \in I^{0+\frac{k}{2}-\frac{n_X+n_Y}{4}}(X, Y; C),$$

where

$$C = N^*Z' \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$$

and $\mathcal{R}^t \in I^{\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{4}}(Y, X; C^t)$.

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- If C is a canonical graph, then $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R} \in \Psi^{\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{2}}(Y)$, elliptic if \mathcal{R} is.
- \exists parametrix $Q \in \Psi^{-\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{2}}(Y)$, $Q\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R} = I \pmod{C^\infty}$, and thus $\mathcal{R}f$ determines $f \pmod{C^\infty}, \forall f \in \mathcal{E}'(Y)$.
- **Ex.** Radon transform: $Y = \mathbb{R}^n, X = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$Z = \{(\omega, s, y) : s - \omega \cdot x = 0\}.$$

Classical: $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}f = c_n f * |y|^{1-n}$, which has inverse $c_n(-\Delta)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$.

The **filtered backprojection** inversion formulae for the Radon transform,

$$f = c_n((-\Delta)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathcal{R}^*)\mathcal{R}f = c_n \mathcal{R}^*(|\partial_s|^{n-1})\mathcal{R}f,$$

thus generalize ($\pmod{C^\infty}$) to a wide variety of GRTs.

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- If C is a canonical graph, then $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R} \in \Psi^{\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{2}}(Y)$, elliptic if \mathcal{R} is.
- \exists parametrix $Q \in \Psi^{-\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{2}}(Y)$, $Q\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R} = I \pmod{C^\infty}$, and thus $\mathcal{R}f$ determines $f \pmod{C^\infty}, \forall f \in \mathcal{E}'(Y)$.
- **Ex.** Radon transform: $Y = \mathbb{R}^n, X = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$Z = \{(\omega, s, y) : s - \omega \cdot x = 0\}.$$

Classical: $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}f = c_n f * |y|^{1-n}$, which has inverse $c_n(-\Delta)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$.

The **filtered backprojection** inversion formulae for the Radon transform,

$$f = c_n((-\Delta)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathcal{R}^*)\mathcal{R}f = c_n \mathcal{R}^*(|\partial_s|^{n-1})\mathcal{R}f,$$

thus generalize ($\pmod{C^\infty}$) to a wide variety of GRTs.

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- If C is a canonical graph, then $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R} \in \Psi^{\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{2}}(Y)$, elliptic if \mathcal{R} is.
- \exists parametrix $Q \in \Psi^{-\frac{n_X+n_Y-2k}{2}}(Y)$, $Q\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R} = I \pmod{C^\infty}$, and thus $\mathcal{R}f$ determines $f \pmod{C^\infty}, \forall f \in \mathcal{E}'(Y)$.
- **Ex.** Radon transform: $Y = \mathbb{R}^n, X = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$Z = \{(\omega, s, y) : s - \omega \cdot x = 0\}.$$

Classical: $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}f = c_n f * |y|^{1-n}$, which has inverse $c_n(-\Delta)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$.

The **filtered backprojection** inversion formulae for the Radon transform,

$$f = c_n \left((-\Delta)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mathcal{R}^* \right) \mathcal{R}f = c_n \mathcal{R}^* (|\partial_s|^{n-1}) \mathcal{R}f,$$

thus generalize ($\pmod{C^\infty}$) to a wide variety of GRTs.

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- Suppose $n_X > n_Y$ (\mathcal{R} is **overdetermined**).

$$\dim(T^*X) = 2n_X > \dim(C) = n_X + n_Y > \dim(T^*Y) = 2n_Y.$$

- Then $C = N^*Z'$ is nondegen, i.e., $\pi_L : C \rightarrow T^*X$ has maximal rank, iff $D\pi_L$ is injective.
- Clean intersection calculus applies to $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$, with excess $e = n_X - n_Y$, but to make sure that $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$ is only a Ψ DO, need $C^t \circ C \subseteq \Delta_{T^*Y}$.
- **Def. (Guillemin)** \mathcal{R} (or Z or C) satisfies the **Bolker condition** if, in addition to $D\pi_L : TC \rightarrow T(T^*X)$ being injective, the map $\pi_L : C \rightarrow T^*X$ is injective. I.e., not only is π_L infinitesimally 1-1, it is globally 1-1. (Makes sense for general canonical relations.)

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- Suppose $n_X > n_Y$ (\mathcal{R} is **overdetermined**).

$$\dim(T^*X) = 2n_X > \dim(C) = n_X + n_Y > \dim(T^*Y) = 2n_Y.$$

- Then $C = N^*Z'$ is nondegen, i.e., $\pi_L : C \rightarrow T^*X$ has maximal rank, iff $D\pi_L$ is injective.
- Clean intersection calculus applies to $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$, with excess $e = n_X - n_Y$, but to make sure that $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$ is only a Ψ DO, need $C^t \circ C \subseteq \Delta_{T^*Y}$.
- **Def. (Guillemin)** \mathcal{R} (or Z or C) satisfies the **Bolker condition** if, in addition to $D\pi_L : TC \rightarrow T(T^*X)$ being injective, the map $\pi_L : C \rightarrow T^*X$ is injective. I.e., not only is π_L infinitesimally 1-1, it is globally 1-1. (Makes sense for general canonical relations.)

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- Suppose $n_X > n_Y$ (\mathcal{R} is **overdetermined**).

$$\dim(T^*X) = 2n_X > \dim(C) = n_X + n_Y > \dim(T^*Y) = 2n_Y.$$

- Then $C = N^*Z'$ is nondegen, i.e., $\pi_L : C \rightarrow T^*X$ has maximal rank, iff $D\pi_L$ is injective.
- Clean intersection calculus applies to $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$, with excess $e = n_X - n_Y$, but to make sure that $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$ is only a Ψ DO, need $C^t \circ C \subseteq \Delta_{T^*Y}$.
- **Def. (Guillemin)** \mathcal{R} (or Z or C) satisfies the **Bolker condition** if, in addition to $D\pi_L : TC \rightarrow T(T^*X)$ being injective, the map $\pi_L : C \rightarrow T^*X$ is injective. I.e., not only is π_L infinitesimally 1-1, it is globally 1-1. (Makes sense for general canonical relations.)

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- Suppose $n_X > n_Y$ (\mathcal{R} is **overdetermined**).

$$\dim(T^*X) = 2n_X > \dim(C) = n_X + n_Y > \dim(T^*Y) = 2n_Y.$$

- Then $C = N^*Z'$ is nondegen, i.e., $\pi_L : C \rightarrow T^*X$ has maximal rank, iff $D\pi_L$ is injective.
- Clean intersection calculus applies to $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$, with excess $e = n_X - n_Y$, but to make sure that $\mathcal{R}^*\mathcal{R}$ is only a Ψ DO, need $C^t \circ C \subseteq \Delta_{T^*Y}$.
- **Def. (Guillemin)** \mathcal{R} (or Z or C) satisfies the **Bolker condition** if, in addition to $D\pi_L : TC \rightarrow T(T^*X)$ being injective, the map $\pi_L : C \rightarrow T^*X$ is injective. I.e., not only is π_L infinitesimally 1-1, it is globally 1-1. (Makes sense for general canonical relations.)

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- **Thm. (Guillemin-Sternberg)** Suppose $C \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$ is a canonical relation satisfying the Bolker condition, and

$$F \in I^{m - \frac{n_X - n_Y}{4}}(C; X, Y)$$

is elliptic and properly supported. Then $F^*F \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$.

Under an 'illumination' assumption, u is determined mod $C^\infty(Y)$ by Fu mod $C^\infty(X)$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{E}'(Y)$.

- **Ex.** k -plane transform on \mathbb{R}^n : $\mathcal{R}_{k,n} \in I^{-\frac{k}{2} - \frac{(k+1)(n-k)-n}{4}}(C; M_{k,n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$
- **Ex.** (M^n, g) a Riemannian manifold without conjugate points has a $(2n - 2)$ -dimensional space \mathcal{G} of geodesics. The **X-ray transform** on M , defined by $Xf(\gamma) = \int_\gamma f ds$, satisfies the Bolker condition, $X^*X \in \Psi^{-1}(M)$, and Xf mod C^∞ determines f mod C^∞ .

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- **Thm. (Guillemin-Sternberg)** Suppose $C \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$ is a canonical relation satisfying the Bolker condition, and

$$F \in I^{m - \frac{n_X - n_Y}{4}}(C; X, Y)$$

is elliptic and properly supported. Then $F^*F \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$.

Under an 'illumination' assumption, u is determined mod $C^\infty(Y)$ by Fu mod $C^\infty(X)$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{E}'(Y)$.

- **Ex.** k -plane transform on \mathbb{R}^n : $\mathcal{R}_{k,n} \in I^{-\frac{k}{2} - \frac{(k+1)(n-k)-n}{4}}(C; M_{k,n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$
- **Ex.** (M^n, g) a Riemannian manifold without conjugate points has a $(2n - 2)$ -dimensional space \mathcal{G} of geodesics. The **X-ray transform** on M , defined by $Xf(\gamma) = \int_\gamma f ds$, satisfies the Bolker condition, $X^*X \in \Psi^{-1}(M)$, and Xf mod C^∞ determines f mod C^∞ .

Applications: Generalized Radon transforms

- **Thm. (Guillemin-Sternberg)** Suppose $C \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$ is a canonical relation satisfying the Bolker condition, and

$$F \in I^{m - \frac{n_X - n_Y}{4}}(C; X, Y)$$

is elliptic and properly supported. Then $F^*F \in \Psi^{2m}(Y)$.

Under an 'illumination' assumption, u is determined mod $C^\infty(Y)$ by $Fu \bmod C^\infty(X)$, $\forall u \in \mathcal{E}'(Y)$.

- **Ex.** k -plane transform on \mathbb{R}^n : $\mathcal{R}_{k,n} \in I^{-\frac{k}{2} - \frac{(k+1)(n-k)-n}{4}}(C; M_{k,n}, \mathbb{R}^n)$
- **Ex.** (M^n, g) a Riemannian manifold without conjugate points has a $(2n - 2)$ -dimensional space \mathcal{G} of geodesics. The **X-ray transform** on M , defined by $Xf(\gamma) = \int_\gamma f ds$, satisfies the Bolker condition, $X^*X \in \Psi^{-1}(M)$, and $Xf \bmod C^\infty$ determines $f \bmod C^\infty$.

Some extensions of FIO theory

1. Paired Lagrangian distributions:

- \exists need for distributions [operators] whose wavefront sets [relations] are not a smooth Lagrangian [canonical relation]:
- Duistermaat-Hörmander constructed parametrices Q for **real principal type** operators $P(x, D)$, with

$$WF_Q \subseteq \Delta_{T^*X} \cup C_\Sigma$$

where C_Σ is the flowout of Σ . $\Delta \cap C_\Sigma$ cleanly with excess $e = n - 1$.

- Each of Δ_{T^*X} , C_Σ is smooth, but their union is not, and K_Q is **not** simply a sum in $I^{m_1}(\Delta) + I^{m_2}(C_\Sigma)$.

Some extensions of FIO theory

1. Paired Lagrangian distributions:

- \exists need for distributions [operators] whose wavefront sets [relations] are not a smooth Lagrangian [canonical relation]:
- Duistermaat-Hörmander constructed parametrices Q for **real principal type** operators $P(x, D)$, with

$$WF_Q \subseteq \Delta_{T^*X} \cup C_\Sigma$$

where C_Σ is the flowout of Σ . $\Delta \cap C_\Sigma$ cleanly with excess $e = n - 1$.

- Each of Δ_{T^*X} , C_Σ is smooth, but their union is not, and K_Q is **not** simply a sum in $I^{m_1}(\Delta) + I^{m_2}(C_\Sigma)$.

Some extensions of FIO theory

1. Paired Lagrangian distributions:

- \exists need for distributions [operators] whose wavefront sets [relations] are not a smooth Lagrangian [canonical relation]:
- Duistermaat-Hörmander constructed parametrices Q for **real principal type** operators $P(x, D)$, with

$$WF_Q \subseteq \Delta_{T^*X} \cup C_\Sigma$$

where C_Σ is the flowout of Σ . $\Delta \cap C_\Sigma$ cleanly with excess $e = n - 1$.

- Each of Δ_{T^*X} , C_Σ is smooth, but their union is not, and K_Q is **not** simply a sum in $I^{m_1}(\Delta) + I^{m_2}(C_\Sigma)$.

Some extensions of FIO theory

- Melrose-Uhlmann-Guillemin-Mendoza introduced classes of Lagrangian-like distributions associated with **pairs** of Lagrangians, $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1 \subset T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$, which intersect cleanly in codimension $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Given by oscillatory integrals with product type symbols and denoted

$$I^{p,l}(X; \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1), \quad p, l \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- If $u \in I^{p,l}(X; \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1)$ then microlocally away from $\Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda_1$,

$$u \in I^{p+l}(X; \Lambda_0 \setminus \Lambda_1) \text{ and } u \in I^p(X; \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_0).$$

- Paired Lagrangian **operators** have Schwartz kernels in $I^{p,l}$ spaces.

Some extensions of FIO theory

- Melrose-Uhlmann-Guillemin-Mendoza introduced classes of Lagrangian-like distributions associated with **pairs** of Lagrangians, $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1 \subset T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$, which intersect cleanly in codimension $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Given by oscillatory integrals with product type symbols and denoted

$$I^{p,l}(X; \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1), \quad p, l \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- If $u \in I^{p,l}(X; \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1)$ then microlocally away from $\Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda_1$,

$$u \in I^{p+l}(X; \Lambda_0 \setminus \Lambda_1) \text{ and } u \in I^p(X; \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_0).$$

- Paired Lagrangian **operators** have Schwartz kernels in $I^{p,l}$ spaces.

Some extensions of FIO theory

- Melrose-Uhlmann-Guillemin-Mendoza introduced classes of Lagrangian-like distributions associated with **pairs** of Lagrangians, $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1 \subset T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}$, which intersect cleanly in codimension $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Given by oscillatory integrals with product type symbols and denoted

$$I^{p,l}(X; \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1), \quad p, l \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- If $u \in I^{p,l}(X; \Lambda_0, \Lambda_1)$ then microlocally away from $\Lambda_0 \cap \Lambda_1$,

$$u \in I^{p+l}(X; \Lambda_0 \setminus \Lambda_1) \text{ and } u \in I^p(X; \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_0).$$

- Paired Lagrangian **operators** have Schwartz kernels in $I^{p,l}$ spaces.

Some extensions of FIO theory

- If $C_0, C_1 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$ are a cleanly intersecting pair, then

$$I^{p,l}(C_0, C_1) = \text{operators } T \text{ with } K_T \in I^{p,l}(X \times Y; C'_0, C'_1).$$

- $I^{p,l}$ -operators arise in several applications:
 - (i) **Parametrices** for RPT: $Q \in I^{\frac{1}{2}-m, -\frac{1}{2}}(\Delta, C_\Sigma)$ [Melrose-Uhlmann]
 - (ii) Parametrices for GRT failing the Bolker condition, e.g., **restricted X-ray transforms** [G.-Uhlmann];
 - (iii) **Inverse problems** for seismic, radar imaging [Nolan, Felea, Gaburro, G., ...];
 - (iv) Composing FIOs **beyond** the clean intersection calculus [G.-Uhlmann; Nolan; Felea (+G.)].

Some extensions of FIO theory

- If $C_0, C_1 \subset (T^*X \setminus \mathbf{0}) \times (T^*Y \setminus \mathbf{0})$ are a cleanly intersecting pair, then

$$I^{p,l}(C_0, C_1) = \text{operators } T \text{ with } K_T \in I^{p,l}(X \times Y; C'_0, C'_1).$$

- $I^{p,l}$ -operators arise in several applications:
 - (i) **Parametrices** for RPT: $Q \in I^{\frac{1}{2}-m, -\frac{1}{2}}(\Delta, C_\Sigma)$ [Melrose-Uhlmann]
 - (ii) Parametrices for GRT failing the Bolker condition, e.g., **restricted X-ray transforms** [G.-Uhlmann];
 - (iii) **Inverse problems** for seismic, radar imaging [Nolan, Felea, Gaburro, G., ...];
 - (iv) Composing FIOs **beyond** the clean intersection calculus [G.-Uhlmann; Nolan; Felea (+G.)].

Books: Basic references

- J. J. Duistermaat, *Fourier integral operators*, Progress in Mathematics **130**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.
- A. Grigis and J. Sjöstrand, *Microlocal Analysis for Differential Operators: An Introduction*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes **196**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- F. Trèves, *Introduction to Pseudodifferential and Fourier Integral Operators, Vol. 2*, Plenum, New York, 1980.
- L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. IV. Fourier integral operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **275**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. (**reference!**)

Books: Symplectic geometry

- A. Weinstein, *Lectures on Symplectic Manifolds* (Regional conference series in mathematics), AMS, Providence, 1977.
- R. Berndt, *An Introduction to Symplectic Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **26**, AMS, Providence, 2001.
- A. Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Corr. 2nd edition, Springer, New York, 2008.

- L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators, I. *Acta Math.* **127** (1971), 79–183.
- J.J. Duistermaat and L. Hörmander, Fourier integral operators, II. *Acta Math.* **128** (1972), 183–269.
- J.J. Duistermaat and V. Guillemin, *The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics.*, *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), 39–79.
- Older papers of historical interest for introducing important ideas: V. Maslov, Y. Egorov, ...

Articles: Paired Lagrangian distributions/operators

- R. Melrose and G. Uhlmann, *Lagrangian intersection and the Cauchy problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (1979) , 482–512.
- V. Guillemin and G. Uhlmann, *Oscillatory integrals with singular symbols*, Duke Math. J. **48** (1981), 251–267.
- G. Mendoza, *Symbol calculus associated with intersecting Lagrangians*, Comm. P.D.E. **7** (1982), 1035–1116.
- A. Greenleaf and G. Uhlmann, *Estimates for singular Radon transforms and pseudodifferential operators with singular symbols*, Jour. Func. Analysis **89** (1990), 202–232.

Articles: Beyond the clean FIO calculus

- R. Melrose and M. Taylor, *Near peak scattering and the corrected Kirchhoff approximation for a convex obstacle*, Adv. in Math. **55(3)** (1985), 242–315.
- R. Melrose, *The wave equation for a hypoelliptic operator with symplectic characteristics of codimension two*, J. Analyse Math. **44** (1984/85), 134–182.
- A. Greenleaf and G. Uhlmann, *Composition of some singular Fourier integral operators and estimates for restricted X-ray transforms*, I. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **40(2)** (1990), 443–466; and II. Duke Math. J. **64(3)** (1991), 415–444.
- R. Felea, *Composition of Fourier integral operators with fold and blowdown singularities*, Comm. P.D.E. **30** (2005), 1717–1740.
- R. Felea and A. Greenleaf, *Fourier integral operators with open umbrellas and seismic inversion for cusp caustics*, Math. Research Lett. **17** (2010), 867–886.